

IN THE DISTRICT COURT, HARRIS COUNTY

215th Judicial District

Andrew P. Lehman,)	NOTICE OF APPEAL
)	
Plaintiff.)	CASE No. 2025-14896
)	
vs.)	
)	
Blogger Inc.)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Blogger Inc. (not Blogger, Inc.) and Defendant-Intervenor Mark Burke formally appeal these proceedings to the First or Fourteenth Court of Appeals. Due to judicial and procedural improprieties which violate due process and the Texas Constitution, this notice includes the short timeline of key events leading up to this Notice of Appeal, as follows: -

TIMELINE

On March 4, 2025, a “Petition for Domestication of a Foreign Judgment” was filed by sole petitioner Andrew Peter Lehman, Sr. On the same day he filed “Statement of Inability to Afford Payment of Court Cost or an Appeal Bond”.

The case was assigned to Court 215, Judge Nathan Milliron presiding. Dispositively, prior to appointment on the bench he left a comment on LawsinTexas.com (“LIT”) threatening to sue in his then capacity as a lawyer for defamation and demanding retraction and an apology. It did

not end there, as during these proceedings, LIT released another article regarding Judge Milliron's impending foreclosure by nonjudicial auction in Harris County. He would thwart foreclosure by filing for bankruptcy in Houston federal court.

On March 26, 2025, "Defendant's Verified Motion To Unseal" was filed, contesting sole petitioner Andrew Peter Lehman, Sr's "Statement of Inability to Afford Payment of Court Cost or an Appeal Bond".

On March 26, 2025, Lehman filed "Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendants Motion To Unseal Plaintiffs' Confidential Information".

On April 2, 2025, Mark Burke filed "Motion To Intervene" and simultaneously, "Defendant & Proposed Intervenor's Verified Motion to Unseal.

On April 11, 2025, Lehman filed an "Amended Petition for Domestication of a Foreign Judgment" naming Andrew Peter Lehman, Sr and purportedly Monica Lynn Riley (incarcerated) as petitioners. Simultaneously, he filed a "Statement of Inability to Afford Payment of Court Cost or an Appeal Bond" for Riley, fraudulently submitted by Lehman.

On May 7, 2025, "Defendants Letter & Request For Appealable Order Interlocutory Appeal Requires A Court Order" was filed related to the Verified Motion to Unseal and Contest.

On May 8, 2025, "Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant's Plea To Jurisdiction [sic]" was filed along with "Memorandum Of Points & Authorities" and "Exhibit".

On May 8, 2025, Defendant & Intervenor's Verified Motion to Strike Statements of Fact.

On May 14, 2025, Lehman improvidently filed a "Proposed Order for Domestication of Foreign Judgment".

On May 15, 2025, pauper Lehman also "REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SERVICE".

On May 15, 2025, the ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN JUDGMENT FILED became a backdated as a “Final Order” by the court. Notably, the ORDER is dated the same date as the original petition filed on Mar. 4, 2025, by Lehman despite the amended (operative) petition filed on Apr. 11, 2025.

I. INTERVENTION & RIGHT TO CHALLENGE PAUPER APPLICATION ON APPEAL

Under Tex. R. Civ. P. 76a, Mark Burke holds an absolute right to intervene at any time before or after judgment to seal or unseal court records. Furthermore, he retains the right to challenge Lehman’s pauper application, pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 145(e).

SUPPORTING LEGAL AUTHORITY

In *Cortez v. Johnston*, No. 06–13–00120–CV, 2014 WL 1513306 (Tex. App.—Texarkana Apr. 16, 2014, no pet.), the Texarkana Court of Appeals, applying *In re Dallas Morning News*, 10 S.W.3d 298 (Tex. 1999), concluded that appellate review under Rule 76a is available only when a trial court enters an order fully disposing of a Rule 76a motion.

FINAL APPEALABLE ORDER

The court’s “Final Order” disposed of the case and is a final appealable order.

II. EXTRAJUDICIAL BIAS MANDATED SELF-RECUSAL BY JUDGE MILLIRON

Nathan Milliron only became a sitting Judge in Harris County District Court on Jan. 1, 2025. As stated above, his actions before and events after these proceedings commenced mandated self-recusal. He did not recuse. Indeed, despite many attempts to obtain hearings from Judge

Milliron's court without success, and reporting the matter to Administrative Judge Susan Brown, he remained on the case. The court repeatedly refused to engage with Defendants. This situation raises concerns about extrajudicial bias under two key categories:

1. Media Coverage Influence— The judge's reaction to LIT's article indicates that Milliron's perception was already shaped by external, non-case-related information.

2. Prior Personal Experience – A previous dispute with LIT has clearly contributed to preconceived opinions and failure to communicate, affecting judicial impartiality.

SUPPORTING LEGAL AUTHORITY

In the Matter of the Marriage of Jason Bryant and Lindsey Bryant and in the Interest of B.B. and S.B., Children, No. 13-24-00285-CV, 267th District Court, Victoria County, Texas (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi–Edinburg, May 15, 2025), concluded that “This Court has determined that the evidence supporting the trial court's determination was factually insufficient and that the trial court judge was biased (discussing extrajudicial bias in civil cases). And, as transcribed and published on LIT, see; “Texas Law (2025): Where Extrajudicial Bias is of Such Nature and Extent as to Deny Due Process of Law. Bias is considered impermissible when it's from an extrajudicial source resulting in an opinion other than what judge learned from the case.”. <https://lawsintexas.com/texas-law-2025-where-extrajudicial-bias-is-of-such-nature-and-extent-as-to-deny-due-process-of-law/>, last visited May 30, 2025; see also; “Harris County District Judge Nathan “Bankrupt Debtor” Milliron Files Voluntary Ch13 to Thwart Foreclosure. Nathan J. Milliron was elected to the Bench and commenced duties on Jan. 1, 2025. He's a former creditor rights and foreclosure mill lawyer.” <https://lawsintexas.com/harris-county-district-judge-nathan->

bankrupt-debtor-milliron-files-voluntary-ch13-to-thwart-foreclosure/, last visited May 30, 2025; see also; “Debt Collectin’ Lawyer Nathan Milliron’s Firm Doesn’t Have an Active Surety Bond with TX SOS. His law firm claims to be Banking and Finance, Collections and Creditors’ Rights, Business and Commercial Litigation and Real Estate focused.”, <https://lawsintexas.com/debt-collectin-lawyer-nathan-millirons-firm-doesnt-have-an-active-surety-bond-with-tx-sos/>, last visited May 30, 2025.

Relative to due process and the courts’ failure to allow hearings, see *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 333, 96 S. Ct. 893, 902 (1976) (recognizing that due process requires “the opportunity to be heard ‘at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner’”; see also *Univ. of Tex. Med. Sch. at Hous. v. Than*, 901 S.W.2d 926, 930 (Tex. 1995) (same).

FINAL APPEALABLE ORDER

The court’s “Final Order” disposed of the case and is a final appealable order.

III. THERE IS NO TEXAS JUDGMENT UNDER UEFJA

There is no Texas judgment under UEFJA. Lehman's Filing of Foreign Judgment did not comply with UEFJA’s mandatory requirements, did not result in a final Texas judgment in the trial court, n' did not trigger any post-judgment deadlines.

There are many issues with the petition(s), but dispositively, there is no such entity as Blogger, Inc., which is or could be a party to these proceedings. As such, no legal counsel is necessary to defend these proceedings.

SUPPORTING LEGAL AUTHORITY

See; *Atlas Survival Shelters, LLC v. Isidro*, 679 S.W.3d 761 (Tex. App. — Houston [1st Dist.] 2023); See also; *Tiras v. Gravity Funding*, 24-20039 (5th Cir., May 16, 2025, pub.) Republished on LIT; "Gravity Fails to Recover On Its \$360k Home Loan Because the Petition Style Named the Wrong Entity. Gravity's petition was signed on behalf of the wrong entity—Gravity Funding, not Gravity Capital. That drafting error proves fatal.", <https://lawsintexas.com/gravity-fails-to-recover-on-its-360k-home-loan-because-the-petition-style-named-the-wrong-entity/>, last visited May 30, 2025; see also LIT's own error with searching an entity without applying the comma; "Snakes n' Scammers (2025): Foreclosure Scam Squad Series Highlights Legal Bandit Marcella Hagger. This case involves a forfeited entity, an 84-year-old homeowner, and another fraudulent conveyance – the ten buck deed fraud." <https://lawsintexas.com/2025-foreclosure-scam-squad-series-highlights-legal-bandit-marcella-hagger/>, last visited May 30, 2025. In this article, LIT published a correction, in relevant part: "Correction (Mar 23, 2025) Upon revisiting, LIT missed the comma in the business entity registration, LONE STAR HOME BUYERS, LLC which comes back as "active" in Texas.". This corrected misidentifying the entity in question in correspondence to the court and parties, highlighting the importance of checking if there is or is not a comma in legal entity names.

FINAL APPEALABLE ORDER

The court's "Final Order" disposed of the case and is a final appealable order.

VERIFIED DECLARATION [OATH]

In closing, I, Mark Stephen Burke, as Defendant with due authority and competency, and

as a presiding resident of Kingwood in the livable forest of Harris County, Texas, born on June 20, 1967 in Harare, Zimbabwe, and currently holding a valid British Passport and U.S. Permanent Residency Card (last 3 digits are 529), a valid State of Texas Driver License (last 3 digits are 949), and a Social Security Card (last 3 digits are 162), do solemnly declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true and correct. This verified is made under Chapter 132, Civil Practice and Remedies Code, which holds significant weight in legal precedent, as evident in *ACI Design Build Contractors Inc. v. Loadholt*, 605 S.W.3d 515, 518 (Tex. App. 2020), *McMahan v. Izen*, No. 01-20-00233-CV, at *15-17 (Tex. App. Sep. 2, 2021), and *In re Whitfield*, No. 03-21-00170-CR, at *1 n.1 (Tex. App. Nov. 10, 2021).

CONCLUSION

This formal Notice of Appeal in these proceedings is timely. See; Tex. R. App. P. 26.1(a)(1) (if motion for new trial is timely filed, a notice of appeal must be filed within 90 days after judgment is signed).

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 30th day of May, 2025.
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration under Chapter 132, Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Burke", with a horizontal line underneath the name.

Mark Burke, individually and for Blogger Inc.
Harris County, State of Texas / Pro Se

46 Kingwood Greens Dr
Kingwood, Texas 77339
Phone Number: (346) 763-2074
Fax: (866) 705-0576
Email: browserweb@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded to all parties, witnesses and counsel of record who have an interest in this case by electronic filing notification and/or electronic mail and/or facsimile and/or certified mail, return receipt requested, this the 30th day of May, 2025.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Burke", is written over a horizontal line.

Mark Burke, individually and for Blogger Inc.
Harris County, State of Texas / Pro Se

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the eFiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the eFiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 101445288

Filing Code Description: Notice of Appeal

Filing Description: Notice of Appeal

Status as of 5/30/2025 3:04 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark Burke		browserweb@gmail.com	5/30/2025 2:18:51 PM	SENT
ANDREW P.LEHMAN		lehmanlaw2002@gmail.com	5/30/2025 2:18:51 PM	SENT
MONICA LYNNRILEY		lehmanmonica25@gmail.com	5/30/2025 2:18:51 PM	SENT