Case 4:24-cv-02120 Document 1 Filed on 06/05/24 in TXSD Page 1 of 7 Southern District of Texas FILED JUN 0 5 2024 JAMES-THOMAS: ENGLISH, 17111 DEWBERRY LN Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Court ROSHARON TX REPUBLIC 484-764*5793 KINGSEMAJ1@FASRMAIL.COM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN HOUSTON DIVISION BOB CASEY UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE JAMES-THOMAS: ENGLISH, NUNC PRO TUNC' Case No.: ALLEGED PLANTIFF EX-REL JAMES THOMAS ENGLISH. COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND Plaintiff, RESTITUTION VS. FREEDOM MORTGAGE COMPANY LLC, WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.GEORGETOWN MORTGAGE LLC Defendant INTRODUCTION This is a complaint filed by the Plaintiff, James-Thomas: English, seeking relief and damages from the Defendants, Freedom Mortgage LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and Georgetown Mortgage LLC, for knowingly engaging in fraudulent activities related to the securitization of the Plaintiff's promissory note. JURISDICTION AND VENUE Jurisdiction is proper in this court under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this case involves a federal question concerning acts of mortgage fraud. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the events giving rise to these claims occurred in this district and all defendants conduct business in this district. **PARTIES** Plaintiff, James-Thomas: English, is an individual residing in the State of Texas Republic.

Defendant, Freedom Mortgage LLC, is a business entity with operations in Texas and can be served through its registered agent.

Defendant, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., is a national banking association with branches and operations in

COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION - 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

26

Texas and can be served through its registered agent.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

On or around March 1, 2023, Plaintiff discovered through a Bloomberg report and subsequent personal reviews that Defendants had engaged in fraudulent securitization of his promissory note.

Defendants unlawfully manipulated and misrepresented the ownership and status of the said promissory note, causing unwarranted legal actions against Plaintiff, including a foreclosure lawsuit which Plaintiff successfully contested.

As a direct consequence of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff was exposed to severe emotional distress, financial instability, and the imminent risk of homelessness.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

I. Fraud

11. Defendants knowingly and intentionally misrepresented the legitimacy of their legal claim to Plaintiff's property through improper securitization as evidenced by the aforementioned report and proceedings.

II. Deceptive Trade Practices

Defendants' actions constitute deceit and misrepresentation under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices - Consumer Protection Act (DTPA), causing direct harm to the Plaintiff.

Complaint for Fraud

Fraud must be proven by clear and convincing evidence, demonstrating: (1) a false representation, (2) of a present, material fact, (3) made intentionally and knowingly, (4) with intent to mislead, (5) reasonable reliance by the party misled, and (6) resulting damage to him. (See Thompson v. Bacon, 245 Va. 107, 111 (1993)).

Fraud can encompass the following:

- Obtaining money or property by false pretense with intent to defraud, which is considered larceny.
- Fraud-related crimes treated as either civil or criminal offenses.
- The severity of the offense depends on factors such as the value of the check or property involved.
 In this case, we bring the fraud complaint as a civil offense.

Defendants' Conduct Constituting Fraud:

COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION - 2

27

1. False Representation and Fraudulent Documentation:

The defendants presented documentation that is fraudulent, thereby committing fraud on the court.

"Fraud on the court" refers to conduct that corrupts the judicial process (Cleveland Demolition Co.

v. Azcon Scraps Corp., 827 F.2d 984, 986 (4th Cir. 1987)).

2. Fraudulent Instruments by Freedom Mortgage:

Freedom Mortgage instruments are fraudulent and have resulted in harm to the Plaintiff.

3. False Advertising and Misrepresentation:

- o The defendant bank advertised that they loan money, thereby defrauding the Plaintiff.
- The defendant bank misrepresented the elements of the alleged agreement to the Plaintiff.

4. Forgery and Unauthorized Promissory Note:

- o The plaintiff's bona fide signature does not appear on the alleged promissory note.
- The copy of the promissory note is a forgery.
- The alleged original promissory note could not be produced by the defendant bank with the plaintiff's name on it. The copy purports to obligate the plaintiff to pay a certain amount plus interest, giving it value if sold to investors.

5. Unauthorized Loan and Financial Misrepresentation:

- The defendant bank recorded the forged promissory note as an unauthorized loan from the plaintiff
 to the bank, as evidenced by a new bank asset and liability.
- The bank recorded an unauthorized loan with an actual cash value from the plaintiff to the bank, then returned the cash value back to the plaintiff. The bank made false statements, claiming the unauthorized loan that they returned to the plaintiff was actually a loan from them to the plaintiff.
- The defendant bank believes Plaintiff agreed to loan the forged promissory note to the bank, which in turn funded the loan from the defendant bank back to the plaintiff. Further, the defendant bank believes they have no obligation to repay the unauthorized loan from the plaintiff to themselves.
 The plaintiff's signature never validated such an unauthorized transaction.

6. Refusal to Loan Legal Tender and Misstatement of Loan Risk:

 By refusing to loan other depositors' money or legal tender as consideration to obtain the alleged promissory note, and by recording the alleged forged promissory note as an authorized loan from COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION - 3

- the plaintiff, which is, in fact, an unauthorized loan, the bank changed the cost and the risk of the alleged loan.
- The defendant bank claims they will be damaged if the plaintiff refuses to repay the loan, but the defendant refuses to acknowledge or repay the unauthorized loan from the plaintiff to them.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief from the Court:

Demand for Settlement:

Libellant, in accordance with the International Commercial Claim (ICC) and subsequent documentation, demands settlement from Libellees in the sum certain amount of USD \$100,000,000.00. This sum represents stipulated damages agreed upon by Libellees in response to the ICC and Libellant's efforts to resolve the matter. The plaintiff insists on a full refund of unearned interest, as mandated by 15 US Code Statute 1615. This involves the return of all cash payments made to the account, aiming to restore the plaintiff to the position prior to the defendant's actions, with the estimated amount of damages ranging from \$50,000 to \$70,000.

- a) Compensatory damages in the amount of \$100,000,000 for financial losses and emotional distress
- b) Punitive damages in the amount of \$100,000,000, due to the malicious and intentional misconduct of the Defendants.
- c) I wanted to confirm that the total relief requested was \$900,000,000 (nine hundred million dollars). The sum total of all the causes of action injury suffered by the plaintiff Additionally, I am requesting the release of the property by granting the allodial title to the property that belongs to the plaintiff.
 - For Cause of Action Interference with Commerce by Threats or Violence (18 U.S. Code § 1951) Requesting the defendant to allocate payment to the plaintiff in the amount of USD \$100,000,000,
 - For Cause of Action Frauds and Swindles (18 U.S. Code § 1341): Requesting the defendant to allocate payment to the plaintiff in the amount of USD \$100,000,000,

27

28

- For Cause of Action- Aggravated Identity Theft (18 U.S. Code § 1028A): Requesting the defendant to allocate payment to the plaintiff in the amount of USD \$100,000,000,
- For Cause of Action- Mail Fraud and Other Offenses (18 U.S. Code § 1343): Requesting the defendant to allocate payment to the plaintiff in the amount of USD \$100,000,000,
- For Cause of Action -Bank Fraud (18 U.S. Code § 1344): Requesting the defendant to allocate payment to the plaintiff in the amount of USD \$100,000,000,
- For Cause of Action 18 U.S. Code Chapter 25 COUNTERFEITING AND FORGERY
 Requesting the defendant to allocate payment to the plaintiff in the amount of USD
 \$100,000,000,
- Legal fees and any further relief this Court deems just and proper.
 - Conspiratorial Acts and Intent to Defraud:

The plaintiff seeks civil relief in the amount of \$100,000,000.00 for conspiratorial acts with the intent to defraud, fraud, and other violations, including those related to Due Process of Law, Misapplication statute/law, and fraud of Void Judgment.

• Compensation for Therapy:

In recognition of the significant emotional trauma endured during the Foreclosure and ongoing court proceedings, the plaintiff requests compensation for therapy expenses. This financial support is essential for emotional healing and mental well-being.

Acknowledgment of Agony:

The plaintiff requests acknowledgment of the extensive agony experienced while navigating the legal system, maintaining personal freedom, and safeguarding the well-being of the family. Recognition of this undue hardship and emotional toll is essential.

Compensation for Damages:

The stress of explaining innocence to business associates and the community has caused substantial harm. The plaintiff seeks compensation for damages incurred due to false allegations and the consequent impact on personal and professional relationships.

Equitable Relief – Expungement of Private Information:

To protect privacy rights, the plaintiff demands equitable relief through the immediate expungement of private information from various databases, including Foreclosure, Credit Bureau and law enforcement databases like Lexis Nexis.

Compensation for Inconvenience and Travel Expenses:

Acknowledging the plaintiff's non-residence in Texas and the repeated inconvenience caused by court appearances, compensation is sought for travel expenses, time, and associated costs incurred during court appearances.

• Refund of Unearned Interest (15 US Code Statute 1615):

The plaintiff insists on a full refund of unearned interest, as mandated by 15 US Code Statute 1615. This involves the return of all cash payments made to the account, aiming to restore the plaintiff to the position prior to the defendant's actions.

Allocation of Land: Transfer of 200 Acres:

Recognizing the severity of violations, the plaintiff requests the symbolic allocation of 200 acres of land as both restitution for infringed rights and a commitment to rectifying wrongs.

Financial Damages:

Seeking financial compensation of \$900,000,000 to cover extensive losses incurred, encompassing economic, emotional, and reputational harm. This sum reflects the demonstrated violations and abuses of power.

This comprehensive civil relief request addresses the multifaceted impact of the defendant's actions, seeking both financial compensation and symbolic restitution for the injustices and violations perpetrated against the plaintiff.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintive requests respectfully request a trial by jury for all issues in this matter that are triable by jury.

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all claims and issues set forth in the complaint, including but not limited to, the allegations of fraud against the

Defendants Freedom Mortgage, Wells Fargo Bank, and Georgetown Mortgage LLC. COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF AND RESTITUTION - 6

outcome, addressing the defendants' fraudulent actions and the violations of my rights. Equity will provide remedies that legal principles alone cannot achieve, such as specific performance, injunctions, or restitution, to fully address the harm caused by the defendants' conduct.

By following the principles of equity, I aim to rectify the injustices and ensure that the defendants are held accountable for their fraudulent actions, and that I am restored to the position I would have been in had the fraud not occurred.

May 30, 2024

Oy: Imr, - THUMAS: EN COUH, HOLT