Filed: 1/3/2025 1:20 PM

CAUSE NO. 202489215

DENNIS CULTER	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	& A
VS.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
	§	\bigcirc
SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC.	§	
AND MANIHO, LLC	§	
Defendants.	§	133 JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

Defendant, Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. ("SPS" or "Defendant") files this Original Answer to Plaintiff Dennis Culter's ("Plaintiff") Original Petition, Application for Injunctive Relief, and Request for Disclosures and would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant, pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, generally denies all of the claims as alleged by Plaintiff and respectfully prays that Plaintiff be required to prove his claims as alleged by a preponderance of the evidence or such higher standard as may be applicable.

<u>ÁFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>

- 1. Plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and therefore each of Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed.
- 2. Defendant pleads that Plaintiff has failed to plead and prove conditions precedent to recovery herein.
 - 3. Defendant pleads the statute of frauds.
 - 4. Defendant pleads waiver, estoppel, and ratification.

- 5. Defendant pleads a failure of consideration for any breach of contract claim, if any, that is outside the terms of the written loan documents.
 - 6. Defendant pleads that Plaintiff lacks standing.
 - 7. Defendant pleads the statute of limitations.
 - 8. Defendant pleads *res judicata* and collateral estoppel.
 - 9. Defendant pleads judicial estoppel and all forms of estoppel
- 10. Defendant pleads that the "economic loss rule" preclades any and all tort claims by Plaintiff.
- Plaintiff's claims are precluded, in whole or part, because any alleged acts and/or omissions of Defendant was not the cause of Plaintiff's alleged damages. Rather, Plaintiff's damages, if any, were proximately caused by the act, omissions, or breaches of other persons and/or entities, including Plaintiff, and the acts, omissions or breaches were intervening and superseding causes of Plaintiff's damages; if any.
- 12. Plaintiff's damages, if any, were proximately caused by the acts, omissions, or breaches of other persons and entities, including Plaintiff, and the acts, omissions, or breaches were intervening and superseding causes of Plaintiff's damages, if any. Defendant pleads the doctrine of comparative responsibility as provided in Chapter 33 of the TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE, and its application to any tort claim (intentional or otherwise) of the Plaintiff that may be alleged against Defendant.
 - 13. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages, if any.
- 14. Defendant is not liable for the act, omissions, or conduct of other persons or entities not authorized to act on behalf of it and Defendant is not liable for the act, omissions of its agents, if any, who exceeded the scope of their authority.

- 15. Any claim for punitive or exemplary damages is subject to the limitations and constraints of Due Process found in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 16. Defendant pleads bankruptcy tolling, common law tolling, equitable tolling, abandonment of any alleged acceleration of the subject loan obligations, and all tolling of the statute of limitations available at law or in equity, including but not limited to tolling under §16.063 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by reason of the claims alleged against it, that Defendant recover its taxable court costs, and that Defendant recover such other and further relief to which this Court deems it to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

HIRSCH & WESTHEIMER, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael F. Hord Jr.

Michael F. Hord Jr. State Bar No. 00784294 Eric C. Mettenbrink State Bar No. 24043819 1415 Louisiana, 36th Floor Houston, Texas 77002-2772 713-220-9182 Telephone 713-223-9319 Facsimile

Email: mhord@hirschwest.com

Email: emettenbrink@hirschwest.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 3rd day of January 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served as follows:

Erick DeLaRue
The Law Office of Erick DeLaRue, PLLC
2800 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 4100
Houston, Texas 77056
Via E-Service

/s/ Michael F. Hord Jr.
Michael F. Hord Jr.

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Erick Escamilla on behalf of Michael Hord Bar No. 784294 eescamilla@hirschwest.com Envelope ID: 95834012

Filing Code Description: Answer/ Response / Waiver

Filing Description:

Status as of 1/3/2025 1:39 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Michael F.Hord		mhord@hirschwest.com	1/3/2025 1:20:06 PM	SENT
Eric Mettenbrink		emettenbrink@hirschwest.com	1)3/2025 1:20:06 PM	SENT
Erick DeLaRue	24103505	erick.delarue@delaruelaw.com	/1/3/2025 1:20:06 PM	SENT