CAUSE NO. 2024-26689

JPN HOLDINGS, LLC, AS TRUSTEE OF	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
THE 2AS1J TRUST,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	269 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
U.S. BANK TRUST COMPANY,	§	\bigcirc
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION AS	§	
TRUSTEE FOR GSAMP TRUST 2006-	§	
HE3, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH	§	
CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-HE3,	§	
AND HRISHIKESH DAS	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORIGINAL ANSWER OF DEFENDANT U.S. BANK

Defendant U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association as Trustee for GSAMP Trust 2006-HE3, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-HE3 files this its Original Answer and respectfully show the Court as follows:

I. ANSWER

A. General Denial.

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies each and every allegation contained in *Plaintiff's Original Petition* ("Petition"), and any amendments or supplements thereto, and demand strict proof thereof.

B. Defenses.

In addition, Defendants plead the following affirmative defenses:

- 1. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims and causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrine of waiver.
 - 2. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims and causes of action are barred, in whole or in

part, by the Parol Evidence Rule.

3. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims and causes of action are barred, in whole or in

part, by the Statute of Frauds.

4. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims and causes of action are barred, in whole or in

part, by the failure of a condition precedent.

5. While Defendant denies that Plaintiff suffered any injury, any injury sustained by

Plaintiff is the result of the acts or omissions of third parties, over which Defendant exercised no

control.

6. Plaintiff's damages, if any, were proximately caused by the acts, omissions, or

breaches of other persons and entities, including Plaintiff and said acts, omissions, or breaches

were intervening and superseding causes of Plaintiff's damages, if any. Defendants assert their

right to comparative responsibility as provided in Chapter 33 of the Texas Civil Practice and

Remedies Code and requests that the fact finder apportion responsibility as provided in Chapter

33.

7. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims and causes of action are barred, in whole or in

part, by the "one satisfaction" doctrine.

8. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims and causes of action are barred, in whole or in

part, by the "economic loss" doctrine.

9. An allegedly wrongful acts or omissions of Defendant, if and to the extent such

acts and omissions occurred, were legally excused or justified.

10. Defendants would show their conduct or activity conformed at all times to any

and all applicable state and federal statutes, codes, and regulations.

11. Defendants assert the defense of bona fide error.

- 12. Some or all of Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of judicial estoppel, collateral estoppel, quasi estoppel, contractual estoppel, equitable estoppel and res judicata.
 - 13. Plaintiff failed to mitigate and/or minimize its purported damages.
 - 14. Plaintiff lacks clean hands to bring an action in equity.
 - 15. Plaintiff lacks standing to bring its claims.
 - 16. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing on its claims; that Defendant be awarded their attorney's fees and costs, and that Defendant receive all other relief to which they may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

: /s/ Nicholas M. Frame NICHOLAS M. FRAME Texas Bar No. 24093448

nframe@mwzmlaw.com

MACKIE WOLF ZIENTZ & MANN, P. C.

14160 North Dallas Parkway, Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75254

Telephone: (214) 635-2650

Attorneys for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on May 31, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was delivered via electronic case notification to the counsel of record listed below:

Justin P. Nichols The Nichols Law Firm, PLLC 309 W. Dewey Place, Ste B201-540 San Antonio, Texas 78212 210-354-2300 efile@TheNicholsLawFirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Nicholas M. Frame

NICHOLAS M. FRAME

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Janay Breckenridge on behalf of Nicholas Frame Bar No. 24093448

jbreckenridge@mwzmlaw.com

Envelope ID: 88319683

Filing Code Description: Answer/ Response / Waiver

Filing Description:

Status as of 5/31/2024 3:32 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Justin Nichols		justin@thenicholslawfirm.com	5/31/2024 2:21:36 PM	SENT
Justin P.Nichols		efile@TheNicholsLawFirm.com	5)31/2024 2:21:36 PM	SENT
Nicholas Frame		nframe@mwzmlaw.com	5/31/2024 2:21:36 PM	SENT
Rafael Rebong		rrebong@mwzmlaw.com	5/31/2024 2:21:36 PM	SENT
Litigation Filings		litigationfilings@mwzmlaw.com	5/31/2024 2:21:36 PM	SENT