

RE: MOTION CONFERENCE

Jones, Taneska <Taneska.Jones@lockelord.com>

Mon 4/22/2024 3:28 PM

To: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>; mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com <mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com>; Mowrey, Robert T. <rmowrey@lockelord.com>; Hansen, Matthew K. <mkhansen@lockelord.com>; ryan@ryandaniellaw.com <ryan@ryandaniellaw.com>; bbruner@bjplaw.com <bbruner@bjplaw.com>

Mr. Burke,

PHH and Deutsche Bank National Trust Co., as trustee are opposed to the motion.

Thanks,

Taneska L. Jones

Counsel

Locke Lord LLP

600 Travis Street, Suite 2800

Houston, TX 77002

T: (713) 226-1563

F: (713) 229-2563

tanaska.jones@lockelord.com

From: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>

Sent: Sunday, April 21, 2024 6:52 AM

To: Jones, Taneska <Taneska.Jones@lockelord.com>; mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com; Mowrey, Robert T. <rmowrey@lockelord.com>; Hansen, Matthew K. <mkhansen@lockelord.com>; ryan@ryandaniellaw.com; bbruner@bjplaw.com

Subject: Re: MOTION CONFERENCE

**** External Email -- Sender: blog@bloggerinc.org ****

Top of the morn'

I shall be submitting the following motion via mail, as currently determined by ancient federal district court laws. Please advise if you are opposed or unopposed.

PROPOSED INTERVENOR MARK STEPHEN BURKE'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER PREMATURE AND ERRONEOUS MAGISTRATE JUDGE ORDER DENYING INTERVENTION, ALLOW FOR ADDITIONAL BRIEFING, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PREMATURE ORDER FOR RECONSIDERATION BY ARTICLE III JUDGE MARK PITTMAN

Cheers,

Mark Burke

[Blogger Inc.](#)

From: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2024 8:42 AM

To: Jones, Taneska <Taneska.Jones@lockelord.com>; mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com

<mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com>; Mowrey, Robert T. <rmowrey@lockelord.com>; Hansen, Matthew K. <mkhansen@lockelord.com>; ryan@ryandaniellaw.com <ryan@ryandaniellaw.com>; bbruner@bjplaw.com <bbruner@bjplaw.com>

Subject: Re: MOTION TO INTERVENE : COPY FILING MARCH 5

Top of the morn'

Thank you for your expedient responses which were well received.
Please find attached final copy (unsigned) of the signed motion sent by post to the court today.

Cheers
Mark Burke

John Adams: "The moment the idea is admitted into society that property is not as sacred as the laws of God, and that there is not a force of law and public justice to protect it, anarchy and tyranny commence. If 'Thou shalt not covet' and 'Thou shalt not steal' were not commandments of heaven, they must be made inviolable precepts in every society before it can be civilized or made free."

From: Jones, Taneska <Taneska.Jones@lockelord.com>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 2:47 PM

To: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>; mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com <mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com>; Mowrey, Robert T. <rmowrey@lockelord.com>; Hansen, Matthew K. <mkhansen@lockelord.com>; ryan@ryandaniellaw.com <ryan@ryandaniellaw.com>; bbruner@bjplaw.com <bbruner@bjplaw.com>

Subject: RE: MOTION TO INTERVENE CONFERENCE

Mark:

PHH and Deutsche Bank are opposed to your motion to intervene.

Respectfully,

Taneska L. Jones

Counsel

Locke Lord LLP

600 Travis Street, Suite 2800

Houston, TX 77002

T: (713) 226-1563

F: (713) 229-2563

taneka.jones@lockelord.com

From: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 1:50 PM

To: mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com; Mowrey, Robert T. <rmowrey@lockelord.com>; Hansen, Matthew K. <mkhansen@lockelord.com>; Jones, Taneska <Taneska.Jones@lockelord.com>; ryan@ryandaniellaw.com; bbruner@bjplaw.com

Subject: MOTION TO INTERVENE CONFERENCE

**** External Email -- Sender: blog@bloggerinc.org ****

Dorman v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company

(4:24-cv-00024)

District Court, N.D. Texas, Judge Mark Pittman presiding

Howdy

In light of the court striking of the motion to intervene (see attached) and per email thread below, I now attach another copy of said motion and ask if you'd like to confer by email, phone, or at next Sunday's Church service (as Chick-Fil-A is closed).

Please let me know by replying to this email by close of business today if you are opposed or unopposed to the attached motion to intervene, which will be updated and modified accordingly.

Have a blessed day.

Sincerely

Mark Burke

From: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:00 AM

To: Pittman_Orders@txnd.uscourts.gov <Pittman_Orders@txnd.uscourts.gov>

Subject: Re: MOTION TO INTERVENE AND PROPOSED ORDER

Good morning

I am trying to ascertain why my motion has not been docketed since last Thursday?

https://tools.usps.com/go/TrackConfirmAction_input?origTrackNum=9405830109355072392568

Please advise.

Thank you.

Mark Burke

From: Blogger Inc <blog@bloggerinc.org>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 7:04 AM
To: Pittman_Orders@txnd.uscourts.gov <Pittman_Orders@txnd.uscourts.gov>
Subject: Re: MOTION TO INTERVENE AND PROPOSED ORDER

My apologies, I had the wrong case number on the submitted pleadings. I now attach updated and correct case reference; 4:24-cv-00024, Dorman v Deutsche Bank National Trust Co., et al.

From: Blogger Inc
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 6:53 AM
To: Pittman_Orders@txnd.uscourts.gov <Pittman_Orders@txnd.uscourts.gov>
Subject: MOTION TO INTERVENE AND PROPOSED ORDER

Good morning,

As per this court's rules, I am attaching the proposed order in word doc. format.

I believe it is prudent to also attach my filings so the court is not confused by a sole proposed order by a party who is not listed on the docket. I would advise the court I have registered with ECF/Pacer for NDTX this morning. As such, I await your review and approval of my application in order that I may electronically file the attached pleadings with supporting exhibits and proposed order.

Cheers,

Mark Burke

Blogger Inc.



Atlanta | Austin | Boston | Brussels | Chicago | Cincinnati | Dallas | Hartford | Houston | London | Los Angeles | Miami | New Orleans | New York | Newark | Providence | San Francisco | Stamford | Washington DC | West Palm Beach

For more information, including our privacy notices and policies, visit www.lockelord.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This e-mail and any attached files from Locke Lord LLP may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail by accident, please notify the sender immediately and destroy this e-mail and all copies of it. We may scan and or monitor emails sent to and from our servers to ensure regulatory compliance to protect our clients and business.