EXHIBIT "CRAFT's THEFT, BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION"

- Big Law
 - BakerHostetler
 - Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
 - Gibson Dunn
 - Allyson Ho
 - Orin Snyder
 - Goodwin Law's Hall of Shame
 - Who is Catalina Azuero?
 - Who is Lawyer Alexis Susan Coll-Very?
 - Who is Laura Stock Craven?
 - Who is Thomas M. Hefferon?
 - Who is Matthew S. Sheldon?
 - Who is Sabrina Rose-Smith?
 - Who is Laura A. Stoll?
- Bounty Hunters
 - Special Report on Michael F. Hord, Jr. of Hirsch Westheimer
- ROBBERS
 - CA5 and CA11 Whiteout Opinions
 - ClerkGate Corruption Scandal
 - CA11 Intervenor Appeal
 - Outlaws In Robes
 - Outlaws In Robes (FL) and (GA)
 - Outlaws In Robes (TX)
 - Is Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton a Criminal?
- SALOONS
 - DC Bar
 - Florida Bar
 - Texas Bar
 - Texas Bar Journal 2021
 - Texas Bar Journal 2020
 - Virginia State Bar
- MOVIES
- CRUSADERS
 - GUNSLINGERS
- DONATE
- ADS
- CONTACT

Editors Choice The State of Texas Accuse Judge Tamika Craft of Engaging in Bribery and Extortion of MD Anderson

MD Anderson contends that Plaintiff engaged in self-help discovery by unlawfully taking the Reports in order to use them against MD Anderson.

By **justicefortexas**

Posted on July 14, 2023

Share this post:

Share on X (Twitter) Share on Facebook Share on Pinterest Share on LinkedIn Share on Email

Explosive Allegations: Texas Charges Tami Craft-Demming with Theft, Unlawful

Weaponization, and Breach of Confidentiality in MD Anderson Case JULY 14, 2023 Failed Campaign by Tamika Tami Craft for Texas 14th Appeals Court (2020) Can You Trust this newly elected Harris County District Judge? The State of Texas raised serious allegations against Tami Craft-Demming, including

theft, misappropriation, unlawful weaponization, breach of confidentiality, and potentially fraud. The allegations stem from a lawsuit filed by MD Anderson in 2018 in Harris County District Court, which was later transferred to the Houston Division of the Southern District Federal Courts.

Although the lawsuit was "settled," disturbing revelations emerged during the proceedings. It was discovered that Tami Craft-Demming had stolen documents from MD Anderson, which contained highly sensitive personnel and patient information.

Representing MD Anderson, the Texas Attorney General's Office alleges that these files were deliberately stolen with the intent to unlawfully use them as a weapon during litigation, suggesting a premeditated act of bribery and extortion to force an early and favorable financial settlement.

In summary, the stolen documents were unlawfully appropriated, breached confidentiality obligations, and involved fraudulent practices.

After an Order by Dishonorable Dan Hinde, who issues an 8-page dismissal with prejudice and costs – without a single case citation – and all the while acting as gatekeeper and counsel for the two defendants, https://t.co

/eNvN5YeGTa

Mark Burke fires back; https://t.co/jSjvCSSqePpic.twitter.com/anYNQok8oG

- lawsinusa (@lawsinusa) July 10, 2023

Craft-Demming v. MD Anderson Cancer Center (**4:18-cv-03296**) District Court, S.D. Texas SEP 14, 2018 – MAR 2, 2020 JUL 14, 2023 Above is the date LIT Last updated this article.

Texas Attorney General's Complaint

Dear Hon. George C. Hanks, Jr:

Pursuant to your request, please accept the following as Defendant The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center's ("Defendant" or "MD Anderson") **status letter** regarding the disposition of the EEO HR Regulations Open Case Log Reports.

Counsel for MD Anderson attempted to confer with counsel for Plaintiff Tamika Craft-Demming regarding the disposition of the EEO HR Regulations Open Case Log Reports on January 22-23, 24, 2020.

On January 24, 2020, counsel for both parties reached a tentative solution regarding the disposition of the reports, but they were not able to finalize an agreement due to the unavailability of counsel for Plaintiff.

Accordingly, counsel for the undersigned will be required to travel from Austin to

Houston to attend the hearing scheduled for Monday, January 27, 2020, at an unnecessary expense to the State. Since an agreement has not been finalized, the undersigned counsel will not include it herein.

Plaintiff's contention:

Plaintiff's prior position on the disposition of the reports was that Plaintiff's counsel has a duty to retain court documents pursuant to the State Bar (Dkt. 27). Plaintiff's counsel contended that she would retain any such documents for a period of years and ultimately have them destroyed in the ordinary course of business (Dkt. 27).

Defendant's contention:

MD Anderson respectfully requests the Court to order counsel for Plaintiff to destroy all originals and copies of the EEO HR Regulations Open Case Log Reports **that Plaintiff unlawfully took from MD Anderson** beyond the scope and duration of her employment.

These reports were produced by Plaintiff in discovery as bates-numbered documents "CRAFT DEMMING 000149-213."

These reports were designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" under the Joint Protective Order (Dkt. 33).

The reports contain the names of MD Anderson employees, the status and details of their EEO case, and work to be performed on the case.

See Exhibit A (copy of EEO HR Regulations Open Case Log Reports filed under seal).

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of the Joint Protective Order, "[d]esignated material shall not be used or disclosed for any purpose other than the litigation of this action."

The Parties have since settled all issues and the Court has granted the Order to dismiss the lawsuit with prejudice (Dkt. 45).

Pursuant to paragraph 17 of the Joint Protective Order, "[u]pon termination of this action, all Designated Material and copies thereof shall be returned promptly (and in no event later than forty-five (45) days after entry of final judgment, returned to the producing party, or certified as destroyed to counsel of record for the party that produced the Designated Material, or, in the case of deposition testimony regarding designated exhibits, counsel of record for the Designating Person.

Alternatively, the receiving party shall provide to the Designating Person a certification that all such materials have been destroyed."

Although Plaintiff produced the EEO HR Regulations Open Case Log Reports to MD Anderson, the confidential reports are the property of MD Anderson and similar to paragraph 17, the Court should order that all originals and copies shall be

(1) promptly returned to MD Anderson no later than 45 days after entry of final judgment;

or

(2) counsel for Plaintiff shall certify that all originals and copies have been destroyed.

MD Anderson contends that Plaintiff engaged in self-help discovery by unlawfully taking the EEO HR Regulations Open Case Log Reports in order to use them in her discrimination litigation against MD Anderson.

Plaintiff had no reason to have personal possession of these reports outside her official duties as an EEO and HR Regulations Specialist.

Nor possession of reports that were produced after she had already gone on leave on July 15, 2016.

Yet, Plaintiff was in possession of reports dated as recently as March 29, 2017.

See Exhibit A.

The reports were taken in violation of MD Anderson's confidentiality policies. Specifically, MD Anderson Institutional Policy #ADM0264 and #ADM0389.

See Exhibit B (MD Anderson policies).

Pursuant to policy, it is a violation to possess employee data outside the completion of official duties. Such information may only be removed from MD Anderson facilities as required for official duties.

All such material or reproductions must be immediately returned when the task requiring removal from MD Anderson is completed or upon termination of employment.

Plaintiff was notified that her position had been selected for elimination under a reduction in workforce on January 5, 2017, with an effective date of March 6, 2017.

Additionally, MD Anderson contends that some of the individuals identified in the reports may also be patients at MD Anderson.

It is a violation of policy for unauthorized persons to possess protected health information, which is regarded as confidential information.

The reports also contain the referral notification numbers, case id numbers, report numbers, and EEOC charge numbers that can be linked with each of the individuals identified within the reports, which is considered confidential information.

Moreover, the reports contain sensitive information regarding the names of complainants, respondents, and witnesses regarding employment matters, which MD Anderson policy requires special precautions to prevent disclosure that might harm or embarrass a patient, employee, or the institution. MD Anderson policy requires all workforce members to conform to the Disposal of Confidential and/or Sensitive Information Policy (UTMDACC Institutional Policy #ADM0389) to avoid disciplinary

action up to and including dismissal (Disciplinary Action Policy (UTMDACC Institutional Policy # ADM0256)).

The confidential information contained in the reports can only be released with approval through the Public Information Act governance process or through MD Anderson's Human Resources Operations Department.

Plaintiff did not obtain approval prior to taking personal possession of the reports. Plaintiff's counsel is under no obligation to retain these confidential reports that Plaintiff unlawfully took from MD Anderson.

MD Anderson policy provides that such information should be disclosed only to individuals who have a business need to know.

Plaintiff's counsel is not one of these individuals. This matter is further complicated by the fact that Plaintiff's counsel now has access to personal information regarding potential clients that she could use for her own business purposes.

Should Plaintiff's counsel need to retain anything for compliance with the State Bar, which she has not shown, she may retain an inventory generally identifying the documents returned with no personal identifiable information.

Respectfully submitted,
KEN PAXTON
Attorney General of Texas
JEFFREY C. MATEER
First Assistant Attorney General
DARREN L. MCCARTY
Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation
THOMAS A. ALBRIGHT
Chief, General Litigation Division

_/s/ Summer R. Lee SUMMER R. LEE Assistant Attorney General Texas Bar No. 24046283 Southern District No. 1840348 General Litigation Division P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 (512) 475-4031 | FAX: (512) 320-0667

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

Parties and Docket

U.S. District Court SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Houston) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 4:18-cv-03296

Craft-Demming v. MD Anderson Cancer Center Date Filed: 09/14/2018

Assigned to: Judge George C Hanks, Jr Case in other court: 80 District Court Harris

County, 18-42393

Cause: 28:1441 Petition for Removal -

Employment Discrim

Date Terminated: 12/03/2019

Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 751 Labor: Family

and Medical Leave Act

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Tamika Craft-Demming

represented by Ellen Sprovach

Kennard Law, P.C. 5120 Woodway Drive Suite 10010 Houston, TX 77056 United Sta 7137420900

Email:

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

MD Anderson Cancer Center

represented by Summer Rayne Lee

Office of the Attorney General of Texas
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, TX 78711-2548

512-396-2866

Email:

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
09/14/2018	1	NOTICE of Removal by MD Anderson Cancer Center (Filing fee \$400, receipt number 0541-20878326), filed. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet, # 2 Exhibit State Court Docket Sheet, # 3 Exhibit Index of Documents Filed in State Court, # 4 Exhibit Documents filed in State Court, # 5 Exhibit List of Counsel)(Lee, Summer) Payment information added on 9/17/2018 (Itien, 4). (Entered: 09/14/2018)
09/24/2018	2	NOTICE of Vacation Dates by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Lee, Summer) (Entered: 09/24/2018)
09/28/2018	3	ORDER for Initial Pretrial and Scheduling Conference and Order to Disclose Interested Persons. Initial Conference set for 11/16/2018 at 03:00 PM in Courtroom 9B before Judge Sim Lake. (Signed by

		Judge Sim Lake) Parties notified. (aboyd, 4) (Entered: 09/28/2018)
10/02/2018	4	CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 10/02/2018)
10/02/2018	5	Certificate of Service in Removed Action by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 10/02/2018)
10/22/2018	6	MOTION to Dismiss on the Pleadings by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 11/13/2018. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Proposed Order)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 10/22/2018)
11/06/2018	7	JOINT DISCOVERY/CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 11/06/2018)
11/13/2018	8	RESPONSE in Opposition to 6 MOTION to Dismiss <i>on the Pleadings</i> , filed by Tamika Craft-Demming. (Attachments: # 1 Appendix, # 2 Proposed Order, # 3 Exhibit, # 4 Exhibit, # 5 Exhibit, # 6 Exhibit, # 7 Exhibit)(Sprovach, Ellen) (Entered: 11/13/2018)
11/13/2018	9	AMENDED COMPLAINT with Jury Demand against All Defendants filed by Tamika Craft-Demming.(Sprovach, Ellen) (Entered: 11/13/2018)
11/16/2018	10	MINUTE ENTRY ORDER: Initial Pretrial and Scheduling Conference held. Appearances: Melissa Fuller for Plaintiff. Summer Rayne Lee. Ct Reporter: K. Miller. (Answer due by 1/11/2019.) (Signed by Judge Sim Lake) Parties notified. (aboyd, 4) (Entered: 11/16/2018)
11/16/2018	11	DOCKET CONTROL ORDER. Amended Pleadings due by 2/15/2019. Joinder of Parties due by 2/15/2019. Pltf Expert Report due by 3/15/2019. Deft Expert Report due by 4/26/2019. Discovery due by 7/26/2019. Non-Dispositive Motion Filing due by 8/2/2019. Joint Pretrial Order due by 9/6/2019. Docket Call set for 9/13/2019 at 03:00 PM in Courtroom 9B before Judge Sim Lake. (Signed by Judge Sim Lake) Parties notified. (aboyd, 4) (Entered: 11/16/2018)
01/11/2019	12	ANSWER to 9 Amended Complaint/Counterclaim/Crossclaim etc. by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 01/11/2019)
02/07/2019	13	Opposed MOTION to Compel Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 2/28/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1, # 2 Exhibit 2, # 3 Exhibit 3, # 4 Exhibit 4, # 5 Proposed Order)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 02/07/2019)
02/11/2019	14	NOTICE to Withdraw Opposed Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures re: 13 Opposed MOTION to Compel Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Lee, Summer)

		(Entered: 02/11/2019)
03/21/2019	15	NOTICE of Vacation by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Lee, Summer) (Entered: 03/21/2019)
08/02/2019	16	MOTION In Limine by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 8/23/2019. (Lee, Summer) (Entered: 08/02/2019)
08/05/2019	17	Unopposed MOTION for Continuance of Joint Pretrial Order Deadline, Docket Call, and Trial by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 8/26/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 08/05/2019)
08/05/2019	18	ORDER granting 17 Unopposed MOTION for Continuance of Joint Pretrial Order Deadline, Docket Call, and Trial (Joint Pretrial Order due by 10/31/2019. Docket Call set for 11/8/2019 at 03:00 PM in Courtroom 9B before Judge Sim Lake.) (Signed by Judge Sim Lake) Parties notified. (aboyd, 4) (Entered: 08/05/2019)
09/03/2019	19	NOTICE of Reassignment to Judge George C Hanks, Jr, pursuant to Special Order No. 2019-3. Deadlines in scheduling orders remain in effect, and all court settings are vacated. Judge Sim Lake no longer assigned to the case. Parties notified, filed. (ndiaz, 4) (Entered: 09/03/2019)
09/06/2019	20	REQUEST for pre-motion conference, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 09/06/2019)
09/24/2019	21	NOTICE of Setting as to 20 REQUEST for pre-motion conference. Parties notified. Pre-Motion Conference set for 10/11/2019 at 03:00 PM by telephone before Judge George C Hanks Jr, filed. Dial In: 713-250-5746, Conference ID: 45746#, Password: 42657#. (jegonzalez, 3) (Entered: 09/24/2019)
09/25/2019	22	NOTICE of Setting. Parties notified. Pre-Motion Conference by telephone set for 10/11/2019 at 03:00 PM in by telephone before Judge George C Hanks Jr, filed. (LoriPurifoy, 4) (Entered: 09/25/2019)
10/07/2019	23	Unopposed MOTION for Continuance of Telephonic Pre-Motion Conference by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 10/28/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 10/07/2019)
10/08/2019	24	ORDER Granting 23 Unopposed MOTION for Continuance of Telephonic Pre-Motion Conference (Telephonic Pre-Motion Conference is reset to 10/17/2019 at 03:30 PM in Courtroom 600 in Houston before Judge George C Hanks Jr)(Signed by Judge George C Hanks, Jr) Parties notified.(jguajardo, 4) (Entered: 10/09/2019)
10/17/2019	25	RESPONSE to 20 REQUEST for pre-motion conference , filed by Tamika Craft-Demming. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit)(Sprovach, Ellen) (Entered: 10/17/2019)

10/17/2019	26	Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge George C Hanks, Jr. PRE-MOTION CONFERENCE and Motion for Summary Judgment held on 10/17/2019. Defendant's request to file its motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 20) is GRANTED. Defendant's request for an extension of the page limit on itsmotion for summary judgment (Dkt. 20) is GRANTED. The parties are ORDERED to submit to mediation by November 8, 2019. Plaintiff's response to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment will bedue within 21 days after the mediator declares settlement negotiations to beat an impasse. The parties are ORDERED to file a joint status letter regarding the discoverydispute raised in Defendant's pre-motion conference letter (Dkt. 20) by closeof business on Tuesday, October 22, 2019. Appearances: For Plaintiff: Ellen Sprovach; For Defendant: Summer Rayne Lee. (ERO: J. Olsen) (Law Clerk: B. Zubay), filed. (olindor, 4) (Entered: 10/21/2019)
10/22/2019	27	STATUS REPORT by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 10/22/2019)
10/25/2019	28	NOTICE of Setting as to 27 Status Report. Parties notified. Discovery Hearing set for 10/28/2019 at 11:00 AM by telephone before Judge George C Hanks Jr, filed. (jegonzalez, 3) (Entered: 10/25/2019)
10/28/2019	29	RESPONSE <i>in Opposition</i> , filed by Tamika Craft-Demming. (Sprovach, Ellen) (Entered: 10/28/2019)
10/28/2019	30	Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge George C Hanks, Jr. DISCOVERY HEARING held on 10/28/2019. Parties joint protective order due by 11/1/2019 Appearances: Ellen Sprovach, Summer Rayne Lee.(Digital # 11:23 – 12:00)(ERO:Yes), filed. (SusanGram, 3) (Entered: 10/30/2019)
11/01/2019	31	Joint PROPOSED ORDER <i>Joint Protective Order</i> , filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 11/01/2019)
11/04/2019	32	NOTICE of Vacation Dates by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Lee, Summer) (Entered: 11/04/2019)
11/04/2019	33	JOINT PROTECTIVE ORDER (Signed by Judge George C Hanks, Jr) Parties notified.(SusanGram, 3) (Entered: 11/04/2019)
11/04/2019	34	MOTION for Summary Judgment by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 11/25/2019. (Attachments: # 1 Appendix, # 2 Proposed Order)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 11/04/2019)
11/06/2019	35	APPENDIX re: 34 MOTION for Summary Judgment by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 11/06/2019)

12/03/2019	36	NOTICE of Settlement by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Lee, Summer) (Entered: 12/03/2019)
12/03/2019	37	Joint REQUEST for pre-motion conference, filed.(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 12/03/2019)
12/03/2019	38	CONDITIONAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL 36 Notice of Settlement. Case terminated on December 3, 2019. Deadline to reinstate: February 3, 2020(Signed by Judge George C Hanks, Jr). Terminated pending motion(s)DENIED AS MOOT: Dkt 16 MOTION In Limine, Dkt. 34 MOTION for Summary Judgment, Dkt 37 Joint REQUEST for pre-motion conference. Parties notified.(jegonzalez, 4) (Entered: 12/03/2019)
01/09/2020	39	**DISREGARD-DOCKETED IN ERROR** NOTICE of Setting as to 37 Joint REQUEST for pre-motion conference. Parties notified. Pre-Motion Conference set for 1/15/2020 at 10:00 AM in Courtroom 600 in Houston before Judge George C Hanks Jr, filed. (SusanGram, 3) Modified on 1/9/2020 (SusanGram, 3). (Entered: 01/09/2020)
01/09/2020	40	NOTICE of Setting as to 37 Joint REQUEST for pre-motion conference. Parties notified. Pre-Motion Conference set for 1/16/2020 at 10:00 AM in Courtroom 600 in Houston before Judge George C Hanks Jr, filed. (SusanGram, 3) (Entered: 01/09/2020)
01/14/2020	41	Joint MOTION for Continuance of Pre-Motion Conference by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. Motion Docket Date 2/4/2020. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order Granting Joint Motion for Continuance)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 01/14/2020)
01/15/2020	42	ORDER granting 41 Motion for Continuance Pre-Motion Conference set for 1/27/2020 at 03:30 PM in Courtroom 600 in Houston before Judge George C Hanks Jr.(Signed by Judge George C Hanks, Jr) Parties notified.(SusanGram, 3) (Entered: 01/15/2020)
01/17/2020	43	NOTICE of Resetting *TIME CHANGE ONLY* as to 42 Order on Motion for Continuance. Parties notified. Pre-Motion Conference set for 1/27/2020 at 11:30 AM in Courtroom 600 in Houston before Judge George C Hanks Jr, filed. (jegonzalez, 4) (Entered: 01/17/2020)
01/23/2020	44	STIPULATION of Dismissal <i>Joint</i> by Tamika Craft-Demming, filed. (Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order)(Sprovach, Ellen) (Entered: 01/23/2020)
01/24/2020	45	ORDER granting 44 Joint Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice and Joint Stipulation of Dismissal.(Signed by Judge George C Hanks, Jr) Parties notified.(SusanGram, 3) (Entered: 01/24/2020)
01/26/2020	46	STATUS REPORT by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Exhibit B)(Lee, Summer) (Entered: 01/26/2020)

01/26/2020	47	SEALED EXHIBITS <i>A (EEO Reports)</i> re: 46 Status Report by MD Anderson Cancer Center, filed. (Lee, Summer) (Entered: 01/26/2020)
01/27/2020	49	Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge George C Hanks, Jr. Proceedings held on 1/27/2020 granting 37 Joint REQUEST for pre-motion conference. Plaintiff notified the Court that the documents were shredded, pursuant to the parties agreement, as stated on the record. MD Anderson will retain documents for two years as stated on the record. Appearances: Ellen Sprovach, Summer Rayne Lee.(Digital # 12:04-12:38)(ERO:Yes), filed. (SusanGram, 3) (Entered: 02/10/2020)
01/28/2020	48	AO 435 TRANSCRIPT REQUEST by MD Anderson/Summer R. Lee for Transcript of Pre-Motion Conference on 1/27/2020 before Judge Hanks. Ordinary (30 days) turnaround requested. Court Reporter/Transcriber: Veritext Legal Solutions, filed. (Lee, Summer) Electronically forwarded to Veritext on January 29. Estimated completion date is February 29. Modified on 1/29/2020 (JenniferOlson, 4). (Entered: 01/28/2020)
02/28/2020	50	TRANSCRIPT re: Motion held on 1/27/20 before Judge George C Hanks, Jr. Court Reporter/Transcriber Veritext. Ordering Party Texas Office of Attorney General Release of Transcript Restriction set for 5/28/2020., filed. (VeritextLegalSolutions,) (Entered: 02/28/2020)
03/02/2020	51	Notice of Filing of Official Transcript as to 50 Transcript. Party notified, filed. (dhansen, 4) (Entered: 03/02/2020)

PACER Service Center	
Transaction Receipt	
07/13/2023 17:13:35	

The Joker: Lewis Brisbois Shane Kotlarsky's Motion for Sanctions Refers to the Texas Lawyers Creed – Laws In Texas https://t.co/LAo4vImnmh

— lawsinusa (@lawsinusa) July 13, 2023

201842393 - CRAFT-DEMMING, TAMIKA vs. MD ANDERSON CANCER CENTER (Court 080) JUN 25, 2018 JUL 14, 2023 Above is the date LIT Last updated this article.

Update

Case removed to federal court.

Texas Jay's Sprawlin' Opinion on Unlawful Debt Collectin' for Florida Loan Sharks and Lawyers

Founder of LIT's intervention has Debt Collectin' Lawyer Clyde Jay Jackson of Burford Perry over-zealously advocating for his law firms.

Read more

Two Florida Lawyers Have an Idea to Offer Business Loans With Borrower's Explicit Personal Guarantee

One problem is that they are suing to collect alleged delinquent debts in Texas, but their selected law firms are in violation of Texas laws.

Read more

It's The Wild West for Legal Lawlessness: Now Ain't that The Truth, Bob DBA The Kruckemeyer Law Firm

LIT challenges Bob's assertions that he is a victim in this lawsuit. Bob's actions are legally characterized as criminal in this State.

Read more

Judge Tamika Craft In Private Practice: LIT Reviews Past Case Involving Fallacious Quitclaim Deeds

Court decision raises eyebrows, pointing to the lack of authority in the quitclaim deeds presented by attorney Tamika Craft Demming.

Read more

Bandit Lawyer Gannon the Misfirin' Cannon Touts Unregistered TX Debt Mgt Xpert Credit Repair Service

Credit repair is an extension of Gannon's many hats, via his paralegal Chris Erbert and his business, which is not registered with TX OCCC.

Read more

Indymac Bank's Victor Woodworth: This is What Jail Looks Like for the Sr VP of Predatory Lending

You will note in the FDIC litigation involving Indymac Bank, Victor Woodworth is listed as Senior Vice President.

Read more

Indymac Bank's Simon Heyrick: This is What Jail Looks Like for the Chief of Predatory Lending

You will note in the FDIC litigation involving Indymac Bank, Simon Heyrick is listed as Chief Credit Officer, Enterprise Risk Mgt Committee.

Read more

Fourteenth Court of Appeals Asked: What the Heck Does Carry With the Case Actually Mean?

Appellees cannot comply with the above direction from the Court because there is no such permanent sealing order (Rule 76a).

Read more

Attorney Randy Sorrels and his Client, Felon Jhalen Demming Walk Into His Mom's Court in Harris County

Randy Sorrels also appeared for opposing side in auto case involving the Judge,

Tamika Craft Demming and her Mother – but only to aid Judge.

Read more

No Bull. Just Real News and Facts.

GET LIT NEWS DELIVERED DIRECT

CLICK HERE TO SUBSCRIBE IN LESS THAN 10 SECONDS Help Make a Difference

Share this post:

Share on X (Twitter) Share on Facebook Share on Pinterest Share on LinkedIn Share on Email

The State of Texas Accuse Judge Tamika Craft of Engaging in Bribery and Extortion of MD Anderson

Related Items:@KenPaxtonTX, @txag, 18-42393, 28:1441 Petition for Removal - Employment Discrim, 751 Labor: Family and Medical Leave Act, 80 District Court Harris County, agpaxton.com, assistant attorney general, Attorney General of Texas, bandits, BLOGGER INC., bribery and extortion, Chief General Litigation Division, cowboy law firms in texas, Craft-Demming v. MD Anderson Cancer Center, d/b/a LawIn Texas.com, darren l. mccarty, DAVID A. OUBRE, Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation, Ellen Sprovach, First Assistant Attorney General, harris county, harris county courts, harris county district clerk, impeached ken paxton, indicted ken paxton, JASON A. POWERS, jeffrey c. mateer, Judge George C Hanks Jr, ken paxton, KENNARD LAW P C, law firm, Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, lewisbrisbois.com, md anderson, md anderson cancer center, MD Anderson contends that Plaintiff engaged in self-help discovery by unlawfully taking the Reports in order to use them against MD Anderson, outlaw, outlaws, PRO SE PLAINTIFF, public corruption, state bar of texas, statebartx.com, SUMMER R. LEE, Tamika Craft-Demming, texas attorney general, texas bar, Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code Section 27.001, THE STATE OF TEXAS, The State of Texas Accuse Judge Tamika Craft of Engaging in Bribery and Extortion of MD Anderson, THOMAS A. ALBRIGHT, U.S. District Court SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (Houston) Recommended for you

- Texas Jay's Sprawlin' Opinion on Unlawful Debt Collectin' for Florida Loan Sharks and Lawyers
- The Greatest Theft of Housing Is Executed by the Judicial Branch Acting Maliciously and Corruptly
- Gregory Funding and Foreclosure Mill BDF Hopkins Restrained from Sellin' Investment Home

Click to comment

Leave a Reply

Cancel reply

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *

Comment *

Name *

Email *

Website

Yes, add me to your mailing list

Δ

Lewis Brisbois Co-Founder Accused of Embezzlement of the Firm's Funds for Personal and Family Financial Gain

Fire Sale: Judge Tamika Craft and Marcus Demming Purchase Kingwood Property for \$10 Bucks per Cash Deed

Laws In Texas first started as an independent investigative blog about the Financial Crisis and how the Banks and Government are colluding against the citizens and homeowners of the State of Texas, relying upon a system of #FakeDocs and post-crisis legal precedents, specially created by the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit to foreclose on homeowners around this great State. We are not lawyers. We do not offer legal advice. That stated, LIT's Blog has grown tremendously during the three or so years it has been operating and our reach is now nationwide as we expand via our micro-blogs in various states. Join us as we strive to bring back justice and honor to our Judiciary and Government employees, paid for by Citizens.

•

Subscribe to Our Newsletter Please leave this field empty First name Email *

We keep your data private and share your data only with third parties that make this service possible. See our Privacy Policy for more information. Check your inbox or spam folder to confirm your subscription.

- THE WILD WEST
- LIT Press
- Privacy
- Terms
- Fair Use
- GUNSLINGERS
- Ads
- Laws In Texas

© 2020-2023 LawInTexas com is an online trading name which is wholly owned by Blogger Inc., a nonprofit 501(c)(3) registered in Delaware. | All Rights Reserved.

To Top