

CAUSE NO. 2023-77012

MJM INVESTMENTS, LLC  
*Plaintiff,*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

v.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

FAY SERVICING, LLC  
*Defendant.*

281<sup>ST</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER**

Defendant, Fay Servicing, LLC (“Fay” or “Defendant”) files this Original Answer to Plaintiff, MJM Investments, LLC’s (“Plaintiff”) Plaintiff’s Original Petition and Verified Application for Temporary Restraining Order, and would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

**GENERAL DENIAL**

Defendant, pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, generally denies all of the claims as alleged by Plaintiff and respectfully prays that Plaintiff be required to prove its claims as alleged by a preponderance of the evidence or such higher standard as may be applicable.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

1. Plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and therefore each of Plaintiff’s claims should be dismissed.
2. Defendant pleads that Plaintiff has failed to plead and prove conditions precedent to recovery herein.
3. Defendant pleads the statute of frauds.
4. Defendant pleads waiver, estoppel and ratification.
5. Defendant pleads a failure of consideration for any breach of contract claim, if any.
6. Defendant pleads that Plaintiff lacks standing.

7. Defendant pleads the statute of limitations.
8. Defendant pleads *res judicata* and collateral estoppel.
9. Defendant pleads judicial estoppel and all forms of estoppel.
10. Defendant pleads that the “economic loss rule” precludes any and all tort claims by Plaintiff.
11. Plaintiff’s claims are precluded, in whole or part, because any alleged acts and/or omissions of Defendant was not the cause of Plaintiff’s alleged damages. Rather, Plaintiff’s damages, if any, were proximately caused by the act, omissions or breaches of other persons and/or entities, including Plaintiff, and the acts, omissions or breaches were intervening and superseding causes of Plaintiff’s damages, if any.
12. Plaintiff’s damages, if any, were proximately caused by the acts, omissions, or breaches of other persons and entities, including Plaintiff, and the acts, omissions, or breaches were intervening and superseding causes of Plaintiff’s damages, if any. Defendant pleads the doctrine of comparative responsibility as provided in Chapter 33 of the TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE, and its application to any tort claim (intentional or otherwise) of the Plaintiff that may be alleged against Defendant.
13. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its damages, if any.
14. Defendant is not liable for the act, omissions, or conduct of other persons or entities not authorized to act on behalf of them and Defendant is not liable for the act, omissions of its agents, if any, who exceeded the scope of their authority.
15. Any claim for punitive or exemplary damages is subject to the limitations and constraints of Due Process found in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

16. Defendant pleads bankruptcy tolling, common law tolling, equitable tolling, abandonment of any alleged acceleration of the subject loan obligations, and all tolling of the statute of limitations available at law or in equity, including but not limited to tolling under §16.063 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by reason of the claims alleged against it, that Defendant recover its taxable court costs, and that Defendant recover such other and further relief to which this Court deems it to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

HIRSCH & WESTHEIMER, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael F. Hord Jr.

Michael F. Hord Jr.

State Bar No. 00784294

Eric C. Mettenbrink

State Bar No. 24043819

1415 Louisiana, 36<sup>th</sup> Floor

Houston, Texas 77002-2772

713-220-9182 Telephone

713-223-9319 Facsimile

Email: mhord@hirschwest.com

Email: emettenbrink@hirschwest.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of November, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Original Answer was forwarded as follows:

David M. Medearis  
Medearis Law Firm, PLLC  
1560 W. Bay Area Blvd., Suite 304  
Friendswood, Texas 77516  
Email: dmedearis@medearislaw.com  
**Via E-File**

/s/ Michael F. Hord Jr.  
Michael F. Hord Jr.

Unofficial Copy Office of Marilyn Burgess District Clerk

### Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the e filing system. The filer served this document via email generated by the e filing system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 81392785

Filing Code Description: Answer/ Response / Waiver

Filing Description: Defendant's Original Answer

Status as of 11/7/2023 2:43 PM CST

#### Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mindi Campbell		mcampbell@medearislaw.com	11/7/2023 2:31:27 PM	SENT
David MillerMedearis		dmedearis@medearislaw.com	11/7/2023 2:31:27 PM	SENT
Jared Davidson		jdavidson@medearislaw.com	11/7/2023 2:31:27 PM	SENT
Michael F.Hord		mhord@hirschwest.com	11/7/2023 2:31:27 PM	SENT
Eric Mettenbrink		emettenbrink@hirschwest.com	11/7/2023 2:31:27 PM	SENT

Unofficial Copy Office of Martin Brodeur District Clerk