# EXHIBIT "HCA TRANSCRIPT TI"

EXHIBIT
Court Reporter
Transcript of TI
Hearing, Jan. 9, 2023.

1	REPORTER'S RECORD							
2	VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUME TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 2022-68307							
3	MARK BURKE, ) IN THE DISTRICT COURT Plaintiff )							
4	vs. ) HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS							
5 6	KPH CONSOLIDATION, INC.  (d/b/a HCA HOUSTON  HEALTHCARE KINGWOOD),  Defendant  ) 234TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT							
7								
8								
9								
10	-							
11	TEMPORARY INJUNCTION							
12								
13								
14	On the 9th day of January, 2023, the following							
15	proceedings came on to be held in the above-titled							
16	and numbered cause before the Honorable LAUREN							
17	REEDER, Judge Presiding, held in Houston, Harris							
18	County, Texas.							
19	Proceedings reported by computerized stenotype							
20	machine.							
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1	APPEARANCES									
2	Mark Burke									
3	State of Texas Pro Se 46 Kingwood Greens Dr. Kingwood, Texas 77339									
4	Telephone: (281) 812-9591									
5	Pro Se Litigant									
6	Benjamin 'Ben' Hamel SBOT NO. 24103198 Madison Johanna Addicks									
7	SBOT NO. 24132017									
8	SERPE ANDREWS, PLLC 2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 1600 Houston, Texas 77019									
9	Telephone: (713) 432-4460 Attorneys for Defendant									
10	Accorneys for Defendant									
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

1	VOLUME 1	
2	TEMPORARY INJUNCTION	
3	January 9, 2023	
4	PAGE	VOL.
5	Adjournment16	1
6	Reporter's Certificate17	1
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		EXHIBITS	OFFERED	BY	THE	DEFE	NSE		
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	1		OFF	ERED	ADM	ITTED	VOL.
3	1	Kingwood Ho 1/8/23 68 p	ospital		-	13		13	1
4	2	Kingwood Ho				13		13	1
5	۷	12/9/22 40	pgs			13		<b>1</b> 3	_
6	3	Kingwood Ho 1/6/23 150	ospital pgs			13		13	1
7		170723 130	250						
8									
9									
LO									
11									
L 2									
13									
L 4									
15									
L 6									
L 7									
L 8									
L 9									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

```
(Temporary Injunction at 1:10 p.m.)
 1
 2
                    THE COURT: All right. You ready,
     Norma?
 3
                    COURT REPORTER: Yes, Judge.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: All right. We're on the
 6
     record in Case No. 2022-68307 Mark Burke versus KPH
 7
     Consolidation, Inc. d/b/a HCA Houston Healthcare
 8
     Kingwood.
                    The record will reflect that plaintiff
 9
10
     Mark Burke who is a self-represented litigant is not
11
     present today in the courtroom. The Court will put
12
     on more on the record related to prior context with
13
     Mr. Burke.
14
                    But in the mean time, would counsel
     for defense please make their appearances for the
15
16
     record?
17
                    MR. HAMEL: Yes, Your Honor. Benjamin
     Hamel and Madison Addicks on behalf of the defendants
18
19
     HCA.
20
                    THE COURT: All right. We're here
21
     today on defendant's temporary -- request for a
22
     temporary injunction on counterclaims filed by
23
     defendant.
24
                    Prior to this hearing, Mr. Burke did
25
     have notice of this hearing. And has had multiple
```

e-mail correspondences with the lead clerk of this Court, Ms. Shannon North. Many of those e-mail correspondences did include defense counsel.

2.4

And since then, all of those e-mail correspondences have been added to the Court's file.

And the Court will take judicial notice of its file.

Mr. Burke stated he believed that this hearing should be canceled based on what he believes is an automatic abatement of the case when he filed a plea in abatement. And, therefore, also indicated to my clerk that he would not be appearing for this hearing.

He also stated that even if the Court determined that this hearing should proceed, that it should not be in person. But it should, instead, be a remote hearing in accordance with the emergency order of the Texas Supreme Court.

The Court will also notice that there's nothing in the Texas Supreme Court's prior or most current order that requires remote hearings.

It, instead, only states that a Court may allow or require parties to appear remotely.

However, the Court will also note that for this, which is a temporary injunction which is an evidentiary hearing, the Court may not require

testimony be taken outside or by remote means over 1 the objection of the parties. 2 Nevertheless, prior to this hearing, 3 my clerk attempted to call Mr. Burke at the only 4 5 number that is on his pleadings, to which we received 6 a busy cell phone signal. The Court was going to allow him to 7 8 appear by Zoom. The Court will also note that on the Court's website, which Mr. Burke is aware of because 9 10 he has posted on his website things related to this Court's procedures. And I believe has included them 11 12 as exhibits in many of his pleadings. That the Court 13 allows remote proceedings, and in fact has the link to the Zoom on it. 14 15 Mr. Burke is not present on the Zoom 16 today, nor is he present in the courtroom; which is 17 no surprise, because he stated my clerk that he would 18 not be appearing. 19 Mr. Hamel, you may proceed with 20 presenting your evidence in support of your temporary relief sought. 21 22 MR. HAMEL: Yes, Your Honor, thank 23 you. 2.4 Would you prefer that we address the

Court standing or from --

25

```
Standing, please.
 1
                    THE COURT:
                    MR. HAMEL: Standing.
 2
                    Would a brief recitation of the facts
 3
     be beneficial for the Court?
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: It would be. And it would
 6
     be -- certainly be beneficial for the record.
                    MR. HAMEL: Understood, Your Honor.
 7
 8
                    Just briefly, litigation was initiated
     by Mr. Burke against HCA Houston Healthcare Kingwood,
 9
10
     the substance of which relates to a period of time
     that he was receiving treatment there.
11
12
                    The general gravamen of Mr. Burke's
13
     complaints are that impostor physician, who has not
14
     been identified by the facility or otherwise, was
     apparently or allegedly given access to the full slew
15
16
     of his medical records. Spoke with him regarding his
17
     medical records. Spoke with him regarding potential
18
     treatment.
19
                    However, Mr. Burke alleges that
20
     whoever that individual was, is an impostor; is not a
21
     physician. He filed a police report to that effect.
22
     The end result of which we're not aware of.
                    But, generally, he alleges that this
23
2.4
     was a violation of the standard of care of the
25
     facility. And has filed a medical malpractice action
```

under Chapter 74 seeking relief for that.

2.4

Related to Mr. Burke's allegations he started what, I believe, is commonly referred to as a gripe website. Where he posted his various allegations, other news articles related to HCA and HCA's attorneys.

The basis -- excuse me, related to that, we have filed a counterclaim for harassment, stocking, and tortious interference with contract.

And are seeking a temporary injunction today specifically related to various postings on that website, which show the personal address and photographs of the homes of various individuals involved in the litigation from our firm, as well as their parents and other family members.

As I'm sure the Court is aware, a temporary injunction does not determine any of the underlying merits of litigation, simply preserves the status quo between the parties. As such, during the pendency before the determination can be made regarding our harassment claim, we believe that a temporary injunction is necessary to maintain the status quo.

Specifically, given that the harassment statute provides a cause of action if a

person with the intent to harass, annoy, alarm, abuse, torment or embarrass another publishes on an Internet website, including a social media platform, repeated electronic communications in a manner reasonably likely to cause emotional distress, abuse, or torment.

2.4

Based on that, we're seeking a temporary injunction against Mr. Burke to have him remove the postings from his website related to the personal addresses and photographs of the homes of the unrelated attorneys from our firm and their family members.

On the basis that during the pendency of this litigation, before we can determine whether harassment has actually occurred, that those individuals have a right to be free from annoyance of use, an intent to harass, and in this specific instance the potential harm to their personal safety.

THE COURT: And before you put on your evidence of those postings, Mr. Burke had filed a response either in opposition to the temporary injunction or in one of his other filings. That, again, the Court has taken notice of its file with some case law about prior strike on speech.

Could you address those issues as well

as talk to me about the modification of the temporary injunction that you're seeking and the relief, and any case law to support the relief that you're seeking today?

2.4

MR. HAMEL: Yes, Your Honor.

So to -- to clarify, and I think our original order that was attached to our injunction was unclear.

We are not seeking to have any prior restraint on any speech from Mr. Burke. Rather, we are seeking mandatory injunctive relief to have the posts that have already been made that show various individual's homes and their personal addresses and other financial information, to have those posts removed.

So we're not seeking -- we're not seeking to restrain any potential future speech from Mr. Burke, just the postings that have already been made. So --

THE COURT: And nor are you seeking a removal -- a wholesale removal of his website or any of the postings related to filings in this Court. Or I believe he's filed -- he basically kind of put the entire record on his -- the website.

MR. HAMEL: Correct.

```
1
                    THE COURT: Based on my reading of
     the -- the -- the filings.
 2
 3
                    And you're not -- and as well as maybe
     some news articles, you're not seeking removal of
 4
 5
     that or taking down of this website.
 6
                    MR. HAMEL: Correct, Your Honor.
 7
                    We're not seeking removal of anything
 8
     related to the pleadings of this case or anything
     like that. Just, specifically, the photographs of
 9
10
     individual's homes and their personal addresses,
     which given the entire --
11
12
                    THE COURT:
                                IIh-huh.
13
                    MR. HAMEL:
                               -- you know, context of
14
     the website, our position is that based on the
     objective standard for the harassment statute is
15
16
     clearly intended to annoy, abuse, or harass the
     individuals involved in this litigation.
17
18
                    THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed
19
     with putting on your evidence.
20
                    MR. HAMEL: Yes, Your Honor.
21
                    So, first, we'd just like the Court to
22
     take judicial notice of Exhibits A through X, which
23
     were attached to Defendant's original counterclaim
24
     and application for temporary injunction and
25
     permanent injunction filed on November 23rd, 2022.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. The Court will
 1
     take judicial notice of Exhibits A through X, which
 2
     were attached to that filing.
 3
                    MR. HAMEL: And in addition to that,
 4
 5
     Your Honor, we have three more exhibits that I
 6
     believe were filed subsequently, which we will mark
     as Exhibits 1, 2, and 3, excuse me, Defendant's
 7
 8
     Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 as part of the hearing today.
 9
                    THE COURT: All right. Defendant's
10
     Exhibits 1 through 3 are admitted for purposes of
     this hearing.
11
12
                    MR. HAMEL: Permission to approach,
13
     Your Honor?
14
                    THE COURT:
                                Yes.
15
                    MR. HAMEL: And to clarify, Your
16
     Honor, we are not seeking to have the entirety of
     these postings removed, just the portions that we
17
18
     discussed that contain personal information,
19
     photographs of individual's homes, and other
20
     financial information for individuals who are have no
     involvement in this litigation.
21
22
                     I'd like to direct the Court's
23
     attention, if I may, Your Honor --
2.4
                    THE COURT: Uh-huh.
25
                    MR. BURKE: -- to a case that we
```

```
believe is on point from the First Court of Appeals
 1
     that was argued in 2019. Caption is Bui, B-u-i v
 2
     Dangelas, D-a-n-g-e-l-a-s. Currently, I believe the
 3
     only citation available is the Westlaw citation which
 4
     is 2019 WL 7341671.
 5
 6
                     In this matter, which was a defamation
     case, so slightly different than the one that's
 7
 8
     before the Court. The 152nd Court, and ultimately
     the First Court of Appeals, determined in instances
 9
10
     such as these injunctive relief related to personal
     addresses -- I think the specific context of that
11
12
     case was someone was alleged to be related to the
13
     Viet Cong posting on Facebook.
14
                    And the Court determined that ordering
     the defendants to remove those Facebook postings
15
16
     during the pendency of the underlying litigation,
17
     that provided personal addresses and things of that
18
     nature did not violate any constitutional implication
19
     to free speech.
20
                     THE COURT: Can you give me that
21
     Westlaw site again?
22
                    MR. HAMEL: Absolutely, Your Honor.
23
     It's 2019 WL 7341671. I have a copy for the Court,
2.4
     if you'd like it.
25
                    THE COURT: Okay. I'll take it.
```

```
1
                    MR. HAMEL: May I approach?
 2
                    THE COURT: You may. Do you happen to
 3
     have a copy or a cite for the Trial Court Case No. in
                 Is it on there? Out of the 152nd.
 4
     that case?
 5
                    MR. HAMEL: Yes, Your Honor, Trial
     Court Cause No., excuse me, Case No. 2018-55787.
 6
                    THE COURT:
 7
                                 Thank you. Okay.
 8
                    MR. HAMEL: Just generally, Your
     Honor, relying on the authority from that case and
 9
10
     the language of the harassment statute itself, we
     feel that these posts by Mr. Burke are not related to
11
12
     the underlying litigation in any way, shape, or form.
13
                    And are solely to -- to clarify, the
14
     statute doesn't require that it be solely for the
15
     purpose of. But -- or solely for the purpose of, and
16
     made with an intent to harass, annoy, alarm, abuse,
17
     and torment the individuals whose personal
18
     identifying information is contain within these
19
     posts.
20
                    And on that basis, we would request
     injunctive relief from the Court during the pendency
21
22
     of the underlying litigation, until such time as the
     merits of the counterclaim can be determined.
23
2.4
                    THE COURT: And do you have a copy of
25
     the proposed order that you would like to enter?
```

# Certificate January 9, 2023

```
MR. HAMEL: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
 1
 2
                     THE COURT: May I see it?
                    MR. HAMEL: Yes. Primary language
 3
     would be on the back page.
 4
 5
                     THE COURT: Have you filed this?
 6
                    MR. HAMEL: We have not, Your Honor.
 7
     However, I'm happy to supplement it as soon as we get
     done --
 8
                    THE COURT:
 9
                                 Okay.
                    MR. BURKE: -- with the hearing.
10
11
                     THE COURT: (Reading)
                                            Is there
12
     anything else that you would like to put on the
     record?
13
14
                    MR. HAMEL: No, Your Honor.
15
                     THE COURT: All right. Let's go off
16
     the record.
17
                     (We adjourned at 1:23 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Certificate January 9, 2023

1 STATE OF TEXAS
2 COUNTY OF HARRIS

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I, Norma Alicia Thieme, Official Court Reporter in and for the 234TH District Court of Harris, State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's Record in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.

I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

I further certify that the total cost for the preparation of this Reporter's Record is \$144.00\$ was paid by MARK BURKE.

20

### /s/NORMA ALICIA DUARTE

21

22

23

24

25

Norma Alicia Thieme, CSR Texas CSR 7751 Official Court Reporter 234TH District Court Harris County, Texas 201 Caroline, Room 1304 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (832) 927-2227 Expiration: 12/31/25