FILE U Marilyn Burgees Marilyn Burgees SEP 05 2023 51 AM By Anon Borbery OUT honor, I am

281-224-1324 P.15

requesting an emergency order

to be heard regarding my case # 2023-34281
Case # 2023-59005 But that

should have been ease*

2023-34281

I saw the traveling judge in your absence. Anais was present the defendant was a no-show at the TRO injunction hearing and I was told to file a Summary Judgement. I Hied to serve her and discoved there is

No Resistad Agent, RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM
This instrument is of poor quality
at the time of imaning

I plead on the maray of this court to hear my. emergency case to STOP The Auction Hoppony now, I had to wait 21 days from Service to the Summary Sudemit meanwhile she filed a new Action Dato Blay. Jonno Phelan Plaintiff See Assadued for Roof or No Registed Agent 20 Serve.

JAMIE PHELAN,
Plaintiff,

V.

S

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

KING PEAK, LLC and its assigns and or successors

In the district Court

S

OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

JUDICIAL COURT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION & APPLICATION FOR

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Plaintiff, Jamie Phelan, files this original petition and application for temporary restraining order against defendant, King Peak LLC, and alleges as follows:

- DISCOVERY-CONTROL-PLAN------

1. Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level {2/3} of Texas Rule of Civil Procedure {190.3/190.4} and affirmatively pleads that this suit is not governed by the expedited-actions process in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 169 because she seeks injunctive relief.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive, non-monetary relief and monetary relief within the jurisdictional limits of the court.

PARTIES AND SERVICE OF CITATION

- 3. **JAMIE PHELAN**, hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," may be served with citation at 3106 Little Bear Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339.
- 4. **KING PEAK LLC**, its assigns and/or successors in interest hereinafter referred to as "Defendant," is a mortgage holder of the property in question located at 3906 Wildwood Valley Court, Kingwood, Texas 77345. Defendant may be served through its attorney GhidottiBerger, 9720 Coit Road, Ste 220-228, Plano, Texas 75025.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has done business in the State of Texas, including originating, servicing, and/or owning loans, which necessarily involves contracting with Texas Residents or Texas Entities by mail or otherwise when at least one of the parties is to perform the contract in Texas. Further, Defendant's conduct, giving rise to the causes of action set forth herein, occurred in whole or in part in Texas.
- 6. The damages sought in this action are within the jurisdictional limits of the court.

FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff owns property located at 3906 Wildwood Valley Court, Kingwood, Texas 77345 ("Property").
- 8. The Property is occupied by tenants of the Plaintiff.
- 9. Irreparable injury to real property" under Tex. R. Civ. P. 680
- 9a) No notice given to senior lien holders and low opening bid at sale. Statement of events of events:

There is a potential title issue under Texas law as to whether or not a junior lien holder is required to notify the senior lender of the intent to foreclose. And as to the minimum opening bid in such a case, should it be set above the 1st position note.

The law is clear in regards to the senior lender, absent a contractual agreement to the contrary by the senior lienholder, a senior lienholder has no obligation to notify junior lienholders of a pending foreclosure sale; but as such, a junior lienholder's obligation is not as clear.

The junior lien holder for untold reasons should indeed notify the senior lien holder of their intention to foreclose on the property, but are they under any legal obligation to do so? This matter in this case is in question. Since the opening bid on this property was set at \$30,000 far short of the obligation on the first note which is just shy of \$225,000. The question of malicious intent by King Peak, LLC is brought up. The attorney's representative Omar stated that his client was under no obligation to notify the senior lien holder of the sale and they had no intention to do so. That this would all be settled after closing. Most likely some legal action would have to be filed or title insurance policy paid for PHH to be made whole on their \$225,000 note. The loan has been modified to a low fixed rate in the 4's and I was in extremely good standing on the loan, completely up to date on my payments (both loans for that matter were in good standing with no missed payments at the time she purchased the note). The only missed payments on the 1st were offered to me by the bank PHH During COVID and I took advantage of the wonderful modification program available at the time. I will probably never be able to get any rate even close to that on any future loans.

When I notified the senior lien holder PHH mortgage of the loaming foreclosure; they stated that the junior holder was indeed obliged to notify them in this case prior to the foreclosure sale. The question remains under the circumstances and conduct from King Peak, LLC were they acting in a malicious manner. Especially since they clearly and intentionally failed to follow the law which clearly states at least 21 days notice must be given to cure when served a Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate. Because of this and her absolute refusal to talk or engage in any dialogue other then demanding full payoff on a note she provided no proof as to where she even got these numbers. Furthermore since the opening bid on this property is set at only \$30,000 from King Peak LLC the senior lien holder PHH mortgage would very likely not be made whole at closing. And the likelihood of any substantial monetary gain to King Peak, LLC by foreclosing is extremely slim. The tax value of the home is only \$265,000. When you combine this with the overall conduct by King Peak thus far there is a clear pattern of malicious intent.

At the time I notified PHH last month of the foreclosure less than 21 days prior to the sale date, they indeed had absolutely no clue of such action. They were also unaware that their note had never been recorded. So there is no public record of their loan. When I informed them of the oversight, they immediately took action to rectify it.

All said it is highly unlikely that King Peak, LLC would gain monetarily by foreclosing on this property and they are simply trying to squeeze out a quick return on the very recent purchase of the note in September 2022. In September I was up to date on the note and had made consistent on-time payments since my COVID deferment ended 11 months earlier. This foreclosure is an attempt to intimidate me into paying off the note in full at almost \$20,000 more than my records show. They have refused to stop the sale for anything less than full payment of the unverified amount of over \$58,000. I have received 2 documents from them:

Certificate of Service

I will give a copy of this document to my attorney of my strate (not processed does not have an attorney) on the same day this document is filed with (turned in to) the Court as follows

If I file this document electronically, I will send a copy of it to my spouse or manager if possible. If not possible, I will gred a copy to my spouse's attorney in person by mail by commercial delivery service, by fax, or by email if I file a paper copy of this document, I will give a copy of it to my spouse or my

Signale e of Setting Hear , 7/17/23

@TexasLawHelp org

Certificate of Conference

I certify that I made a reasonable effort to talk with my attorney or an attorney or an attorney or an attorney) about the date and time of this hearing before setting this hearing

I tryed to call both Deepta Hiremoth @ Ghiddli Berger to notify of the Hearing on 7/20/23. I emailed and Faxed on that day so well.

email: deeptahiremath @ gmail. com - King Traic, LLC email: oreynosa@ ghidotti berger. com - Omar-Rep for Her Lawyer @ Ghidotti Berger

FAX: 469-713-3194 Ghidotti Berger

FAX: 949-427-2732 Fax King Peak, LLC

FAX: 949-427-2732 Fax King Peak, LLC

Omar Reynosa

King Renk, LLC

Omar Reynosa

King Renk, LLC

Omar Reynosa

Legal De part ment

Cahidolli Berger

It or Sham, PII FO44

FM-DIVAD-403 Notice of Final Heaning [Divorce No Children (Set A or D]) [Rev D8-2020] Addison, 7, 77 7500 (
PAM-DIVAD-403 Notice of Final Heaning [Divorce No Children (Set A or D]) [Rev D8-2020] Addison, 7, 77 7500 (

"The notice default and intent to accelerate", mailing date the 16th of December 2022. But it was not mailed out until the 19th and didn't arrive in my mailbox until 3 days after Christmas on the 28th of December 2022. I was given until the 30th to pay almost \$10,000 more than I owed on. I had a total of 18 months missing payments due to a COVID sanctioned deferment at \$355 a month I owed \$6390, Not almost \$16,000. The old lender FCI and I were in the process of modifying the note and I have written correspondence from them of intent to modify but what was holding up the modification was the uncertainty of the actual amount owed. The agreement was to actually wave those 18 months missed payments on as part of the modification and reduce the interest rate to the high 4's or low 5's I had several options to choose from as to the exact rate. The only missed payments on this loan were those 18 months. This note has changed hands approximately a dozen times or more since I bought the home in 2007. This second was the 20% lein of the 80/20 program, it has a very high interest rate of 9.9%. These loans were always held by the same lender. These 2nds were intended to be very temporary, just as soon as the home acquired enough equity it would get rolled into the main loan at the original loan's interest rate which was in the 5's, there were no additional qualifications to meet and no closing cost. But that was right around the time when GMAC, the original note holder of both loans, filed for bankruptcy and as a consequence of this the 2nd was sold off and was now a separate note at a very high interest rate and with rates rising and our financial position at the time, we were unable to qualify to refinance both loans into one as was originally planned. It has been an unending cycle of frequently changing lenders most often with inaccurate information

 The second and only other correspondence I received was right under the deadline of 21 days prior to the sale date "The notice of foreclosure sale".

from the old lender ever since. Thus the confusion about the true payoff on the note.

Please be aware I was literally given no other documents:

1)

Not an introduction letter: i. e. Hi I'm your new lender. Here's where to submit your payments. No payment statement.

Absolutely no communication between her or her attorney as to where she came up with these numbers or dates of missing payments which are completely inaccurate. I have the statements to prove it. No payment history. Zero paperwork but the two above mentioned documents.

FAX TX REPORT TRANSMISSION OK JOB NO. 4491 DESTINATION ADDRESS 9494272732 **SUBADDRESS** DESTINATION ID ST. TIME 06/05 14:31 TX/RX TIME 09'59 PGS. 21 RESULT OK

JAMIE PHELAN,
Plaintiff,

V.

Soft Harris County, Texas

KING PEAK, LLC and its assigns and or successors

In the district Courty

Soft Harris County, Texas

Fin interest

Soft Harris County, Texas

Judicial Court

Judicial Court

Judicial Court

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION & APPLICATION FOR

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Plaintiff, Jamie Phelan, files this original petition and application for temporary restraining order against defendant, King Peak LLC, and alleges as follows:

DISCOVERY-CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level {2/3} of Texas Rule of Civil Procedure {190.3/190.4} and affirmatively pleads that this suit is not governed by the expedited-actions process in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 169 because she seeks injunctive relief.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive, non-monetary relief and monetary relief within the jurisdictional limits of the court.

PARTIES AND SERVICE OF CITATION

- 3. **JAMIE PHELAN**, hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," may be served with citation at 3106 Little Bear Drive, Kingwood, Texas 77339.
- 4. KING PEAK LLC, its assigns and/or successors in interest hereinafter referred to as "Defendant," is a mortgage holder of the property in question located at 3906 Wildwood Valley Court, Kingwood, Texas

CAUSE NO. 2023-59075

JAMIE PHELAN	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff	§	
v.	§	
	§	215 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
KING PEAK, LLC	§	
Defendant	§.	OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

On this the 5th day of September 2023, the Plaintiff Jamie Phelan sought a Temporary Restraining Order to enjoin the foreclosure of certain real property located in Harris County, Texas by the Defendant King Peak, LLC and/or its representatives. After hearing the argument of the pro se Plaintiff and the Defendant's counsel and any evidence, the Court finds that the Plaintiff has not established the necessary criteria to be entitled to a temporary restraining order.

It is therefore ORDERED that the request for Temporary Restraining Order requested by the Plaintiff Jamie Phelan as against King Peak, LLC and its representatives is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Signed this the 5^{th} day of September 2023.

The Honorable Ancillary Judge Presiding

Approved and entry requested:

/S/ Glen Nordt
Glen Nordt
TBN 15076600
13115 Turnbridge Trail
Houston, Tx 77065
713 302 8890
nordtlaw@gmail.com
Attorney for King Peak, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order Denying Temporary Restraining Order was hand delivered to the Plaintiff at the time of the hearing on this matter before the Ancillary Court of Harris County, Texas on September 5th, 2023.

/S/ Glen Nordt Glen Nordt

FILED

Marilyn Burgess
District Clerk

SEP 05 2023

Cause No. 2023-59075

Harris County, Texas

PHELAN, JAMIE § IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

vs. § HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

il th.

king peak llc § 215th Judicial district

ORDER DENYING APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

On this day came on to be heard Plaintiff's Application for a Temporary Restraining Order. After having reviewed the motions, responses and arguments of the parties, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Application for Temporary Restraining Order should be denied. It is therefore,

ORDERED, that Plaintiff's Application for a Temporary Restraining Order is **Denied**.

Plaintiff presented an Application for Temporary Injunction. It is ordered that the clerk of this court issue notice to Defendants to appear before the Ling Judicial District Court, in the Civil Courts Building, 201 Caroline, Houston, Harris County, Texas, on <u>September 18, 2023</u> at <u>3:00</u> <u>pm</u> to show cause why Plaintiff should not be granted a temporary injunction, as set out in the application, pending final determination of this cause on its merits.

Signed September 5, 2023.

KEARNEY & KEARNEY SPECIALIZED SERVICES

6345 WOODLAWN ROAD VERONA, PENNSYLVANIA 15147

CALL/ TEXT 412-491-7230

Jamie Phelan 3106 Little Bear Drive Kingwood, Texas 77339

August11, 2023

In Re: Invoice/ Return
King Peak two addresses

Dear Madam/Sirs:

Enclosed please find the Return of Service for the above captioned. By this date you should have received via email copies of the same. Please note the invoice below for services rendered and thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully, Ken Kearney

INVOICE

King Peak, 1207 Fifth Ave Allegheny County
King Peak 6000 Brooktree, Wexford

\$70.00 \$70.00

TOTAL DUE \$140.00

Affidavit of Process Server

		(NAME OF COL	JRT)		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER	V\$	DEFENDANT/RES	PONDENT	CASE	NUMBER
1 /	EAR Neyholan		epose and say: that I		
not a party to and action, and	mer minimi nic bot	antica or me star	IO MILIELE DEI AICE MUS (onecieu, i was	BULLIOTIZED DY IAW I
service: I served ACNSO with (list documents) 1010	pave Kin	GARAK R	A Foster	LAW G	2000
with (list documents) 10 Tro	e of Final	Francing	MOTION FOR C	oatinua	nc e
by leaving with MA	Rue				At
☐ Residence	NAME	F	RELATIONSHIP		•
XBusiness 1207	ADDRESS FIFTH AU	e Pitts	BUTSL PA	1521	9
On 2-11	ADDRESS	AT 913	CITY/STATE 59 A M		
DATE			TIME		
☐ Inquired if subject was a		•	·	οτ.	-
Thereafter copies of the doc	uments were maile	ed by prepaid, first	class mail on	DATE	
from	STATE	ZIP			
☐ Personal: By personally of Substituted at Residence served with a member of the ☐ Substituted at Business: the person apparently in cha ☐ Posting: By posting copie	e: By leaving copie household over the By leaving, during rge thereof.	es at the dwelling has age of g office hours, copi	nouse or usual place _ and explaining the les at the office of the	general natur person/entity	e of the papers. being served with
Non-Service: After due sear unable to effect process upo	rch, careful inqulry n the person/entity	and diligent attem being served bed	pts at the address(e ause of the following	s) listed above reason(s):	e, I have been
☐ Unknown at Address ☐ M.☐ Address Does Not Exist ☐ Of According To First	ther 10 -01 €	ding Service C	ancelled by Litigant ロ ピタン ちいナミ 3	Unable to Serve	in Timely Fashlon
Service Attempts: Service v	vas attempted on:	(1)	(2)_	DATE	TIME
(3)	(4)	D		2,,,,,	
DATE TIME	(4)	DATE T	(5)	DATE T	IME
Description: AgeSex	Race	HeightWe	eightHair	_Beard	Glasses
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORI	I to before me this	day of	Hugusta 2	JRE OF PROCE	erias SS SERVER Vetro HEADNOS
Proved to me on the basis of			on(s) who appeared	before me.	1 7/2
	Commonwealth of Penn Dana M. Pcolar	, Notary Public	SIGNATI	JRE OF NOTAR	PUBLIC.
NADPS	Westmoreta My commission exp Commission nu	oires July 12, 2024	NOTARY PUBLIC for	the state of	nnsykianu)



Affidavit of Process Server

		(NAME OF	COURT)		
	Vs	•	ŕ		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONE		DEFENDANT/	RESPONDENT	CA	SE NUMBER
1 KERNETTI KE	ARNEY , b	eing first duly swor	n, depose and say	: that I am over ti	he age of 18 years and was authorized by law to
			•		-
Service: Lserved	Serve Kin	gleAK %	1 DOR NIST	6 LANE	reop
with (list documents)	DATE OF NAM	APOF PERSON/ENT	TY BEING SERVED	a fine Com	Lucasco
with (list documents)//	Citte of 1	ing Huiri	7 70 100	N ' W CON	(ITT DEGINE E
by leaving with 10 H	Serv e				At
☐ Residence	NAME		RELATIONSHIP		
Business 6000 B	ROO PERESS F	Doute 3	30 (CITY/SIA)	Ford P	9 15096
	ADDRESS	P	CITY/STAT	E	
On	-11 d 3	AT	:50PM		
DATE ☐ Inquired if subject wa	E as a member of the	U.S. Military and	TIME was informed they	are not.	
Thereafter copies of the	documents were r	nailed by prepaid,	first class mail on		
from				DA	TE.
СПУ	STATE	ZIF)		
Manner of Service: □ Personal: By personal: □ Substituted at Reside served with a member of Substituted at Busing the person apparently in □ Posting: By posting of	ence: By leaving of the household overses: By leaving, do no charge thereof.	oples at the dwelli er the age of uring office hours,	ng house or usual and explain copies at the offic	ing the general n e of the person/e	ature of the papers. ntity being served with
Non-Service: After due unable to effect process					
□ Unknown at Address □ Address Does Not Exist ARE THE RA Service Attempts: Serv	OF KING PE	arnish stat	ce Cancelled by Litiq ど カモ ルペ	gant □ Unable to S his (Aw (Serve in Timely Fashion
•	·	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME
(3)	(4)_		(5)		
DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME
Description:. Age	_SexRace	Helght	_Weightfil	airBeard_	Glasses
			Stern	uth Stil	anaj
			,	SIGNATURE OF PRO	OCESS SERVER
SUBSCRIBED AND SW Proved to me on the bar			of Avgust	20 <u>23</u> , by	Kennern Korriney
		nsylvenia - Notary Seal		Much	Hall.
	Dana M. Pcolar Westmorel	r. Notary Public	<u> </u>	SIGNATURE OF NO	I CO (I/M) t
		pires July 12, 2024	N∩TARV DI	JBLIC for the state of	TEMPS LOUNIA
NADOS		Association of Natural] HOLANT FO	SPEIC IOI (IIIO STATO OI	



The plaintiff has filed an emergency request, she is trying to stop an auction on her home scheduled fortoday at 10 am (case # 2023-34281). We had a TI hearing with Judge Matthews on August 1st, she made a docket sheet entry.

She also filed an original petition on Friday and got a TRO hearing for today with the ancillary judge, TRO was denied. (2023-59075)

I've explained we are in a jury trial but she stated she would wait in the suite. Are you willing to fit her in today or how should I proceed?

The TI was denied.

The anullary has denied the TKO, I am not going to re-viril the rulery by the aneithary judge.

No energing hearing she had one with aneithery