## IN THE DISTRICT COURT, HARRIS COUNTY

# 189th Judicial District

Robert J. Kruckemeyer  Plaintiff	) MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF's FIRST AMENDED PETITION ) No. 2023-11266
VS.	) )
Blogger Inc. D/B/A/, LAWIN	)
TEXAS.COM	)
	)
	)
Defendant	)
	)
	)
	)

#### MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED PETITION

Defendants, Counter-Plaintiffs and Third-Party Plaintiffs Mark

Burke, individually, and on behalf of Blogger Inc., and Joanna Burke ("The

Burkes"), file this Motion to Strike for the following reasons;

#### TIMELINE OF EVENTS

**On Feb 21, 2023**, the Plaintiff's Original Petition And Application For Permanent Injunction docketed.

On May 1, 2023, "Defendant's Original Answer and Jury Demand" was filed by Imposters and Co-Conspirators David Oubre and Jason Powers of Lewis Brisbois on behalf of Berkshire Hathaway Direct Insurance Company ("Imposters and Co-Conspirators") allegedly representing Blogger, Inc. without capacity, nor any documented authority to do so.

**On May 11, 2023**, Bob registered "The Kruckemeyer Law Firm" as a DBA in Harris County.

On May 30, 2023, "Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to the Texas Anti-Slapp Law, Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code 27.001 et seq." was filed by Imposters and Co-Conspirators David Oubre and Jason Powers of Lewis Brisbois on behalf of Berkshire Hathaway Direct Insurance Company ("Imposters and Co-Conspirators") allegedly representing Blogger, Inc. without capacity, nor any documented

authority to do so.

**On Jun 5, 2023,** "Plaintiff's First Amended Original and Application for Permanent Injunction" <u>docketed</u>, adding new parties. The causes of action remain the same.

**On Jun 6, 2023,** Imposters and Co-Conspirators file "Motion to Withdraw" with a hearing scheduled for July 25, 2023, walked back midafternoon the same day to a setting by submission (date, Jun 19, 2023).

On Jun 15, 2023, "Defendants Mark Burke and Joanna Burkes Original Answer and Jury Demand" and "ORIGINAL COUNTERCLAIM AND APPLICATION FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION" by the real parties in interest docketed.

**On Jun 26, 2023**, Plaintiffs Notice of Dismissal of Joanna Burke without Prejudice filed.

**On Jun 27, 2023**, the "First Amended Counterclaim/Third Party Petition and Application for Permanent Injunction" by the real parties in interest docketed.

## FACTS, ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

Relying upon real parties in interest The Burkes appearance, pleadings including addendums, verifications, and declarations, the "Plaintiff's First Amended Original and Application for Permanent Injunction" as docketed on Jun 5, 2023 should be stricken from the record and the complaint dismissed with prejudice.

In The Burkes pleadings, they assert debt collecting Texas Lawyer Robert Kruckemeyer ("Bob") and Texas Lawyer Randall Sorrels ("Randy") lack authority and capacity, along with David Oubre, Jason Powers, Lewis Brisbois and BHDIC.

In their pleadings, The Burkes specifically asked the court to set a hearing or rule on this matter. To date, the court has not set any hearings, requested briefing, nor released any Orders related to this civil action.

The Burkes contest the Plaintiff's Amended Petition should be stricken. Whilst it is recognized in *Deadmon v. Dallas Area Rapid Transit*, 347 S.W.3d 442, 444 (Tex. App. 2011) that "an amended petition

supplants any earlier petition ", citing to Tex. R. Civ. P. 65 may overcome The Burkes objection to Bob's perjurious affidavit<sup>1</sup> as to his non-existent entity "The Kruckemeyer Law Firm" at the time of filing his verified Original Petition, and/or relying upon TEX. R. CIV. P. 28, it should be stricken and dismissed with prejudice for at least three reasons.

A comparison of the facts of each Petition confirms the only changes include the addition of; (i) new parties, namely Mark Burke and Joanna Burke; (ii) an updated signature page changing the original petition from "Pro Se" to "Attorneys for Plaintiff" (see side-by-side image comparison); (iii) the unchanged content of the affidavit, with the exception of the updated signature, date and notary, and (iv) the arguments in The Burkes counterclaim, including the "Actual Fraud" section. Relevant here is (ii), (iii) and (iv).

First, the underlying facts alleged in the Amended Petition remain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See; Addendum K, section "Perjury", Image No. 108883357; Exhibit: "Perjury & DBA Registration".

the same, and taken as true, Bob has perjured himself because "The Kruckemeyer Law Firm" ("Firm") did not exist until May 2023. Bob's complaint revolves around his Firm's debt collection practices, which did not legally exist at the time of the alleged defamatory article. See image version of Original Petition at 12, stating LIT's article was published on Jun 22, 2022;

12. On June 22, 2022, Lawln Texas published an article on its Laws In Texas website. The headline reads: "Cowboy Lawyer Robert J. Kruckemeyer of The Kruckemeyer Law Firm Violate Texas Laws." The sub-heading reads: "Lawyer Robert J Kruckemeyer has held a Texas Bar license for nearly 40 years. He is unlawfully filing for garnishment, and approved by judge." (the "Article"). The byline for the Article reads that it was by: "justicefortexas." A true and correct copy of the Article is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

# And then compare the content of the Amended Petition at 15;

15. On June 22, 2022, LawIn Texas and Burke published an article on the Laws In Texas website. The headline reads: "Cowboy Lawyer Robert J. Kruckemeyer of The Kruckemeyer Law Firm Violate Texas Laws." The sub-heading reads: "Lawyer Robert J Kruckemeyer has held a Texas Bar license for nearly 40 years. He is unlawfully filing for garnishment, and approved by judge." (the "Article"). The byline for the Article reads that it was by: "justicefortexas." A true and correct copy of the Article is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Upon information and belief, the author(s) of the Article was either MBurke, JBurke or both.

The Burkes interpretation is supported when applying the textual

interpretation, see; Tex. R. Civ. P. 93: "14. That a party plaintiff or defendant is not doing business under an assumed name or trade name as alleged."; "4. That there is a defect of parties, plaintiff or defendant."; "2. That the plaintiff is not entitled to recover in the capacity in which he sues, or that the defendant is not liable in the capacity in which he is sued."; "1. That the plaintiff has not legal capacity to sue or that the defendant has not legal capacity to be sued.". Additionally, further into the Amended Petition, at 18 D), Bob complains of LIT's description – a "Rogue Debt Collection **Law Firm** in Houston" (emphasis added).

Whilst the LawsInTexas.com ("LIT") article mentioned Bob, it is only in his 'capacity' as owner of "The Kruckemeyer Law Firm", as Bob admitted in both affidavits, see; Addendum K, p. 3. Bob may amend his Petition, but the underlying facts have not changed. For those reasons, striking the Petition is warranted.

**Second**, the signature page in the Amended Petition alleges that Randy's Firm is now lead counsel and Bob's Firm is acting as joint counsel.

As pointed out in Addendum K, p. 14-16 this cannot stand, in law.

**Third**, relying upon the arguments in the counterclaim (Addendum K), actual fraud defeats an attempt to amend a Petition, see; *Muniz v. State*, 575 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. Civ. App. 1979), and generally;

"An attorney must be held to a more strict standard than the layman because of the position of public trust which he enjoys. As such, his standard of conduct must be high. A lawyer assumes a position of responsibility to the law itself, and any serious disregard of the law by him or her is much more grave than that by the layman who may breach the law innocently or otherwise. A lawyer has always been regarded as an officer of the court. He is charged with obedience to the laws of this State and of the United States."

This is further evidenced by Bob's non-existent entity and failure to register "The Kruckemeyer Law Firm" under an assumed name is a violation, a misdemeanor in Texas Law.

See; Seidler v. Morgan, 277 S.W.3d 549, 555 n.3 (Tex. App. 2009);

"The Texas Business and Commerce Code contains a requirement in Texas that if an entity regularly conducts business under an assumed name, it must file a certificate with information about the business. TEX. BUS. COM. CODE ANN. §§

36.10, 36.11 (Vernon 2002) (violation is a misdemeanor (TEX. BUS COM. CODE ANN. § 36.26 (Vernon 2002)))."

Indeed, as stated in Addendum K, p. 3, "The Kruckemeyer Law Firm" did not exist until May 11, 2023, after the date this civil action commenced but before the real parties in interest, The Burkes responded, raising this issue for the first time.

Clearly, Bob was aware of his decades of non-compliance with Texas law and acted on his own initiative to belatedly correct the misdemeanor, however, that only affirms The Burkes arguments here.

See; *Pelletier v. Vict. Air Conditioning, Ltd.*, No. 13-20-00011-CV, at \*26-27 (Tex. App. Jan. 6, 2022) (explaining moving of assets to avoid a judgment and/or garnishment is evidence of 'actual fraud'). As outlined, the same 'actual fraud' applies to these proceedings.

## REQUEST FOR A MOTION HEARING

The Burkes formally request a motion hearing be set. In anticipation, they will confer with the parties after obtaining dates two available dates

from the court, and upon agreement of the parties will notice the same formally on the docket.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The Burkes Motion to Strike Plaintiff's First Amended Petition should be GRANTED and the complaint dismissed with prejudice.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 10th day of July, 2023. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration under Chapter 132, Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

Mark Burke State of Texas / Pro Se

46 Kingwood Greens Dr Kingwood, Texas 77339

Phone Number: (346) 763-2074

Fax: (866) 705-0576

Email: browserweb@gmail.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing motion has been forwarded to Plaintiff/ Counter-Defendants / Third-Parties and counsel by electronic filing notification and/or electronic mail and/or facsimile and/or certified mail, return receipt requested, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.

Mark Burke

State of Texas / Pro Se

#### **Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 77331009

Filing Code Description: Motion (No Fee)

Filing Description: MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED

**PETITION** 

Status as of 7/10/2023 9:45 AM CST

#### **Case Contacts**

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Robert Joseph Kruckemeyer	11735700	bob@kruckemeyerlaw.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
Randall Sorrels	10000000	Randy@SorrelsLaw.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
Kathy Truong		Kathy.Truong@lewisbrisbois.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
David Oubre		David.Oubre@lewisbrisbois.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
Jason Powers		Jason.Powers@lewisbrisbois.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
Mark Burke		browserweb@gmail.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
Joanna Burke		joanna@2dobermans.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT
Mark Burke		browserweb@gmail.com	7/10/2023 7:28:01 AM	SENT

# EXHIBIT "Perjury & DBA Registration"



#### Business SEARCH CLEAR 1241732 513362 C72009 8 6 6 Business: NEVERALONE STAFFING 265 EI Dorado #2020 Websier TX 77598 Owner: Kruckemeyer Larry Etal 1328 Chandonay Houston TX 77077 Owner: Patterson Jamie Etal 265 EI Dorado #2020 Websier TX 77598 Business: THE KRUCKEMEYER LAW FIRM 244 MALONE STREET HOUSTON TX 77007 Owner: KRUCKEMEYER ROBERT JOSEPH 16402 AGUSTA COURT SPRING TX 77379 Business: HOUSTON TUB & SPA 13843 Cherry Hollow Houston TX 77082 Owner: Knuckemeyer James Film Code ŧ **Assumed Names** 3 Record(s) Found. kruckemeyer File Date (From) Expired Unknown 12/15/1981 Expired Unknown 05/28/2004 Type Date • MM/DD/YYYY ASN-2023-18682 234982402 Personal Finance Reports Public Notices Campaign Finance Reports Conflicts of Interest Misc. Personal Records Vital Statistics Uniform Commercial Code Informal Marriages Marriage License Animal Brands Assumed Names



# OFFICE OF TENESHIA HUDSPETH

COUNTY CLERK, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

1241732 05/11/2023 PERSONAL \$17.00 ASSUM NTRY

#### **ASSUMED NAME RECORDS CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP FOR UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS OR PROFESSION**

(1)NAME OF BUSINESS: _	The Kruckemeyer Law Firm	
(Please type or print clearly) (2)ADDRESS OF BUSINESS	244 Malone Street	
(3)CITY: Houston		P CODE: 77007
(6)Number of years busin	ess will be active, not to exceed 10 years: 10	·
(7) Please select your typ	e of Business:	,
Sole Proprietors	hipGeneral PartnershipJoint Vent	ure
X Sole Practitioner	Real Estate Investment TrustJoint Stoc	k Company
(8)NAME OF OWNER (1):	Robert Joseph Kruckemeyer	
	16402 Agusta Court	
(10)CITY: Spring	TY	77379
(13)SIGNATURE OF OWNER	(12)ZIF CODE:	7023
(15)NAME OF OWNER (2): _		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
·	irst) (middle) (last)	
(16)ADDRESS OF RESIDENCE		9 经
(17)CITY:	(18)STATE: (19)ZIP CODE:	<u>S</u> S
(20)SIGNATURE OF OWNER	- aut II	
STATE OF TEXAS } COUNTY OF HARRIS }		·
(21)BEFORE ME, THE UNDER	RSIGNED AUTHORITY, on this day personally appeared Robert J.	Kruckemeyer
	be the person whose name is subscribed in the above statement and acourpose and consideration therein expressed.	knowledged to me that they
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AN	ID SEAL OF OFFICE, THIS <u>9</u> DAY OF <u>May</u>	, <u>2023</u>
	DONNA GUERRA  Notary Public, State of Texasis nature of Notary or Deputy County Clerk	<u>v-</u> _
Notary Seal:	Notary ID 12485919825 AUGUSTON TV 77251-1525 A713-274-8686	RECORDER'S MEMORANDUM: t the time of recordation, this instrument was
Form No. D-02-07 (Rev. 09/03/2020)	www.cclerk.hctx.net	bund to be inadequate for the best photographic eproduction because of illegibility, carbon or hoto copy, discolored paper, etc. All blockouts, different and changes were present at the time
	a ti	ne instrument was filed and recorded.

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT, HARRIS COUNTY

# 189<sup>th</sup> Judicial District

Robert J. Kruckemeyer	) CASE No. 2023-11266
Plaintiff	)
vs.	) )
Blogger Inc. D/B/A/, LAWIN TEXAS.COM  Defendant	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )

#### **ORDER**

On this day came for consideration the MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF's FIRST AMENDED PETITION filed by Defendants, Blogger Inc., Mark Burke and Joanna Burke.

The Court finds that after considering the Motions, responses, if any,

the pleadings on file and applicable legal authorities, the Court concludes
the Defendant's Motion is well-taken and hereby GRANTED.
IT IS THEREFORE
ORDERED, that the Defendant's PLAINTIFF's FIRST AMENDED
PETITION is hereby STRICKEN
and;
IT IS FURTHER
ORDERED, that the COMPLAINT is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
SIGNED this the day of , 2023.

SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_\_Presiding Judge