

NO. _____

**LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E.
MCKAMIE, SR., P.C.**

§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

vs.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

NANIK BHAGIA

_____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PETITION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. ("McKamie"), Plaintiff, requests the Court to compel arbitration by enforcing the prior written agreement of the parties to arbitrate disputes. In support, Plaintiff shows:

1. Discovery in this case is intended to be conducted under level 2 of rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.
2. Plaintiff, Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C., is a professional corporation authorized and licensed to do business in the State of Texas, with its principal office located at 1210 Antoine Drive, Houston, Texas 77055.
3. Defendant, Nanik Bhagia, is an individual and should be served with process at his residence located at 3126 Latrobe Lane, Katy, Texas 77450; his place of business, N. K. Resources, Inc., P. O. Box 509, Barker, Texas 77413; or any other location where he can be located.
4. The parties previously agreed to arbitrate all disputes as set forth in language contained in the Power of Attorney and Contract of Employment (Claim for Damages), attached to this petition as Exhibit 1, executed on October 19, 2007. This document requires arbitration of any disputes and states in part as follows:

All sums due and to become due are to be payable at attorney's office in Harris County, Texas. It is understood by all parties that any disputes that may arise from this Contract will be solved by binding arbitration pursuant to the Texas General Arbitration Act. It is agreed by the parties that Attorney herein shall select an arbitrator to resolve the matter after notice of such dispute. In the event of the disability or death of attorney herein the parties agree that an attorney selected by the estate or the attorney herein may complete this matter to conclusion and the estate or attorney herein will not be barred by attorney-client privilege from transferring this file to an attorney to resolve this matter.

A controversy under this document has arisen related to the following issues: Defendant has timely failed to pay reasonable and necessary attorney's fees incurred at attorney's office as agreed by Defendant. The controversy is ongoing and has not been resolved by the parties and therefore should be submitted to arbitration.

Although Plaintiff selected an Arbitrator and provided certified notice to Defendant Bhagia, as is provided by the written agreement executed by the parties, Defendant has refused to submit the disputed issues to arbitration in accordance with the terms of the document described above. Therefore, this Court should compel Nanik Bhagia to arbitrate. The document in which the parties agreed to arbitrate future disputes requires the arbitration to be binding.

Arbitration is in keeping with the Texas policy to encourage the peaceable resolution of disputes and early settlement of pending litigation through voluntary settlement procedures, as stated in section 154.002 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

If this case proceeds to trial, substantial additional time and expense will be incurred. A full trial of the case is likely to be unnecessarily burdensome for all involved. A decision should be rendered in arbitration, thereby preserving substantial amounts of money that would otherwise be spent on attorney's fees and costs of trial.


5. Plaintiff requests that the Court order the parties to participate in the arbitration in good faith, that the Court order payment of attorney's fees, and that the costs of arbitration be levied against any party who does not cooperate in the arbitration.

6. It was necessary to secure the services of Henry L. Robertson, a licensed attorney, to preserve and protect the rights of Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. Defendant, Nanik Bhagia, should be ordered to pay reasonable attorney's fees, expenses, and costs through trial, and a judgment should be rendered in favor of this attorney and against Nanik Bhagia; or, in the alternative, Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. requests that reasonable attorney's fees, expenses, and costs through trial be taxed as costs and be ordered paid directly to Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C.'s attorney, who may enforce the order in the attorney's own name. In addition, Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. requests that, if an appeal or application for writ of mandamus results from this petition, Henry L. Robertson be awarded further judgment against Nanik Bhagia for attorney's fees incurred thereby, or alternatively that the additional fees be taxed as costs and ordered paid directly to the attorney.

7. Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. prays that the Court order arbitration procedures according to the terms and provisions of the parties' Power of Attorney and Contract of Employment (Claim for Damages) executed on October 19, 2007 and direct the parties to participate in the arbitration in good faith. Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. prays that attorney's fees and costs of arbitration be levied as requested above. Petitioner prays that citation and notice issue as required by law. Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. prays for general relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Law Office of Henry L. Robertson
8323 Southwest Freeway, Suite 605
Houston, TX 77074
Tel: (713) 271-5656
Fax: (713) 271-5522

By: 
Henry L. Robertson
State Bar No. 17058700
Attorney for Law Office of Reginald E.
McKamie, Sr., P.C.

P74

NORMAN ROSER

Attorney-Mediator
3203 Mercer
Houston, Texas 77027
Phone (713) 877-8585 / Fax (713) 877-8587

June, 18, 2012

Via Facsimile: 713-271-5522

Mr. Henry L. Robertson
Law Office of Henry L. Robertson
8323 Southwest Freeway, Suite 605
Houston, Texas 77074


Via Facsimile: 713-961-0941

Mr. Jerry L. Schutza
Attorney at Law
11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 2820
Houston, Texas 77046

Re: Cause No. 2011-35107; *Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. v. Nanik Bhagia*; In the 190th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas

ARBITRATION AWARD

Dear Attorney Robertson and Attorney Schutza:



I am writing to forward you my arbitrator's decision regarding the arbitration of the above referenced dispute that took place at the Greenway Mediation Center on December 16th, 2011.

This claim arose out of a Power of Attorney and Contract for Employment (Claim for Damages) attorney representation agreement signed between Mr. Nanik Bhagia and THE LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E. MCKAMIE, SR., P.C., (hereinafter "attorney McKamie" which was Plaintiff's Exhibit number 1.

Plaintiff's Exhibit number 1, the Power of Attorney and Contract for Employment identifies the underlying matter for which the representation is sought by Mr. Nanik Bhagia as cause number 2006-04790. Plaintiff's Exhibit #4 evidences that cause # 2006-04790 is the case of Jerome Durham, Plaintiff, vs. Nanik Sonal Bhagia and N.K. Resources, Inc.

The details of the underlying case are set out above because one of the arguments/defenses to Attorney McKamie's claim for legal fees by Mr. Nanik Bhagia is that Attorney McKamie was hired only to represent Mr. Nanik Bhagia and not the "other" defendant N.K. Resources, Inc. The Arbitrator finds this argument unpersuasive and flawed. The Cause number includes both defendants and the totality of the circumstances whereby Attorney McKamie litigated and tried the case without an additional and separate co-counsel for the second "person", N.K. Resources, Inc. better suggests it was his responsibility to represent all defendants in Cause Number 2006-04790, as he was hired to do. Evidence was presented during the Arbitration that Mr.

Nanik Bhagia is the owner or principle of N.K. Resources, Inc., was acting on its behalf and that Attorney McKamie made it a point and made it clear to Mr. Nanik Bhagia that Mr. Nanik Bhagia would personally be responsible to pay the attorney's fees contracted for and not the corporate entity, N.K. Resources, Inc. Exhibit number 1, the Power of Attorney and Contract for Employment is signed individually by Mr. Nanik Bhagia and not "as representative of the corporate entity. This is not unusual or unfair in a contract negotiation and is supported herein by the evidence. The defendants were so intertwined that representation of both is supported.

With regard to the reasonableness of the employment contract for representation, hourly attorney's fees of \$325.00 and \$350.00 for Court Appearances by Attorney McKamie are in order, are reasonable and customary. They are certainly not unconscionable or excessive. The evidence establishes and showed that Attorney John Noblesdorf, who was originally representing the defendants (Nanik Sonal Bhagia and N.K. Resources, Inc.) asked Attorney McKamie to assist him and handle the trial of the case. Evidence further established that Attorney McKamie is Board Certified, (which requires significant trial experience and CLE's) has extensive trial experience and has been practicing law for over 26 years. Thus, when it became clear to Attorney Noblesdorf that the case was headed to trial, he pulled in an experienced litigator "big gun" (in his mind), Attorney McKamie, well exposed in the media, etc., to help.

The underlying litigation was a claim for real estate fraud, theft in real estate and exposed defendants Nanik Sonal Bhagia and N.K. Resources, Inc. to punitive damages. The fact that the individual defendant, Mr. Nanik Bhagia, won a directed verdict, thereby eliminating individual liability in a fraud claim demonstrates that Attorney McKamie was aware of that risk and eliminated it as to the individual through his efforts. A video of Attorney Craig Keener, opposing counsel in the underlying case 2006-04790 presented in the Arbitration as evidence further provided persuasive evidence that Attorney McKamie handled the defense of this case competently and professionally. There was no criticism from Attorney Noblesdorf presented as evidence of Attorney McKamie's not properly handling of the trial. Attorney Craig Keener testified such that he was professionally complementary of Attorney McKamie, who was Keener's "opposing counsel".

During the Arbitration, an "equitable argument" and billing argument was asserted and suggested by Attorney Jerry Schutza on behalf of his client Mr. Nanik Bhagia that some money was owed to Attorney McKamie but not as much as was claimed. Sort of a "just because you put a number down doesn't mean it is owed." This is a true statement so it was necessary to look closely at the details of the billing done in order to prepare this Arbitrator's award. The evidence available on this issue has been reviewed closely. However, it should be mentioned that Plaintiff's counsel Henry Robertson, Attorney McKamie's attorney, pointed out that although Mr. Nanik Bhagia conceded that some amount was owed by Mr. Nanik Bhagia to Attorney Mckamie, non of even the amount conceded to be owed was paid nor tendered.

Regarding the number of hours billed, Attorney Jerry Schutza complained that the Motion in Limine was billed at 4 hours. Attorney Henry Robertson's response was that the Motion in Limine effectively kept out evidence that was significant in the resulting directed verdict on behalf of Mr. Nanik Bhagia individually. Attorney Jerry Schultza

countered that a directed verdict was won because attorney Craig Keener failed to plead Alter Ego in the underlying case. The number of hours billed during the course of the trial is controverted as well. Billing for lunch, and starting time for trial at 1:30 pm but billing that begins at 9:30 am, and total number of hours were all detailed. The evidence does not establish over billing during trial but simply suggests it from a "lay" perspective of a client not familiar with trial and trial preparation realities. Attorney Henry Robertson pointed out that trial time is focus time and focus on the case is billing time. Simply asserting an opinion suggesting over billing during trial is not evidentially sufficient. Finally, Attorney McKamie provided testimony evidence that although the trial was scheduled to begin at 1:30 pm, he spent from 9:30 am preparing and focused upon the trial. This is not controverted, is not unusual and is persuasive.

Separate and apart for issues of billing, additional issues of 2 checks paid but not credited to the account by Attorney McKamie were also presented. A credit for \$2,575.19 was claimed against the billing of Attorney McKamie because two checks were received by him, appear to have been cashed, but not credited to the account. Errors in bookkeeping are easily remedied and this amount, \$2,575.19 in the opinion of the Arbitrator has been paid.

Based upon the evidence, the amount sought based upon Attorney McKamie's billings for attorney's fees are totaled at \$18,864.50 Amount paid but not credited is \$2,575.19. subtracting the credits amount leaved an amount claimed of \$16,289.31

Returning briefly to the issue of whether Attorney McKamie was retained to represent only the individual Mr. Nanik S. Bhagia or to represent the corporate entity as well, N.K. Resources, Inc., as discussed above, it was pointed out that no complaint was raised by Mr. Nanik S. Bhagia against the representation contract nor the billing for work done on behalf of the corporate entity or otherwise during the course of the trial after the individual had obtained a directed verdict. It is the opinion of the Arbitrator that representation was intended and contracted for that Attorney McKamie represent all defendants in the defense of the underlying suit including the corporate entity, N.K. Resources, Inc.

With regard to attorney's fees for Breach of Contract claimed by Attorney McKamie for having to sue and arbitrate the pursuit of attorney's fees he claimed were contracted for and not paid, Attorney Henry Robertson testified that he had spent 34 hours on the fee case. Further, the evidence supports the conclusion that a claim for attorney's fees was made in a Notice letter timely before suit was filed under Chapter 38.001 of the CPRC. The affidavit evidence provided by Henry Robertson during the Arbitration hearing states that his hourly fee to Attorney McKamie for pursuing payment of the underlying fees owed was at the rate of \$250,000. per hour. 34 hours times \$250.00 per hour equals \$8,500.00

Therefore, after reviewing the evidence, which consisted of the exhibits, the direct oral testimony and cross exam testimony, the video deposition of Craig Keenan, the documents provided as evidence, the contract dated October 19th, 2007, affidavit of Attorney Henry Robertson and the argument of counsel during the arbitration, **it is the Award of the Arbitrator, that the Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. be**

awarded the amount of \$16,289.31, in due and owed attorney's fees arising out of the contract of employment, an additional amount of \$8,500 for attorney's fees or Attorney Henry Robertson, for handling the claim for payment of the fees, for a total of \$24,789.31 to be paid by and from Mr. Nanik Bhagia for all claims presented in this Arbitration. This amount does not award and no award is made for, past interest, costs, expenses, and the Arbitration fee.

The Arbitration Award is that **the Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. be awarded the total amount of \$24,789.31 against and to be paid by and from Mr. Nanik Bhagia.**


Further, the payment of this award within 30 days will release Mr. Nanik Bhagia from any further asserted claims of **the Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C.** Thereafter, interest shall accrue at the legal rate of post award interest and any further litigation costs and legal fees necessary for appeal as the Court may provide in the Court's Judgment. This award is subject to any agreements of the parties regarding the award, such as a "high - low" agreement if any exists.

Upon any appeal or filing of any other proceeding to the Court of Appeals of this award, then the Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. shall be entitled and shall recover an additional sum of \$7,500.00 as reasonable and necessary attorney's fees if Mr. Nanuk Bhagia is unsuccessful.

Upon any appeal or filing of any other proceeding to the Supreme Court of Texas of this award, then the Law Office of Reginald E. McKamie, Sr., P.C. shall be entitled and shall recover an additional sum of \$7,500.00 as reasonable and necessary attorney's fees if Mr. Nanuk Bhagia is unsuccessful.

This is the final award of the Arbitrator and may be entered by a court of proper jurisdiction as the court's final judgment.


Signed this 18th day of June, 2012.



Norman Roser
Arbitrator

I thank you for allowing me to act as the Arbitrator in this suit and I invite you to phone me if you have any questions or issues that you would like to discuss with me.

Sincerely,



Norman Roser

CONFIRMED FILE DATE: 10/18/2012

ENTERED

2004/4863

Cause No.: 2011-35107

WRIT OF EXECUTION

LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E. MCKAMIE, SR., P.C.

ENTERED
OCT - 4 2012
CONSTABLE, PCT. 3
FORT BEND COUNTY, TX
THE STATE OF TEXAS

P-5

TR# 72825472

72825472

ROB GOOK
CONSTABLE PCT. 3
FT. BEND COUNTY, TX

RECEIVED
2012 OCT - 4 AM 8:19

VS.
NANIK BHAGIA

To any Sheriff or any Constable within the State of Texas, GREETING:

WHEREAS, at the JULY -- DECEMBER, 2012, Term of the Honorable 190TH District Court of Harris County, Texas on the 9TH day of JULY, 2012, LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E. MCKAMIE, SR., P.C. recovered a judgment against NANIK BHAGIA for the sum of \$16,289.31, in due and owed attorney's fees arising out of the contract of employment, an additional amount of \$8,500.00 for attorney's fees of Attorney Henry Robertson, for handling the claim for payment of the fees, for a total of \$24,789.31 to be paid by and from Mr. Nanik Bhagia for all claims presented in this Arbitration; the Arbitration Award is CONFIRMED and that THE LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E. MCKAMIE, SR., P.C. is awarded the total amount of \$24,789.31 against and to be paid by and from Defendant, NANIK BRAGIA, in conformity with the Arbitration Award; the Judgment here rendered shall bear interest at the lawful rate from thirty days (30) from the date of this Judgment, if not paid; that upon any appeal or filing of any other proceeding to the Court of Appeals of this award, the LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E. MCKAMIE, SR., P.C. shall be entitled and shall recover an additional sum of \$7,500.00 as reasonable and necessary attorney's fees; if Defendant is unsuccessful; that upon any appeal or filing of any other proceeding to the Supreme Court of Texas of this award, the LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E. MCKAMIE, SR., P.C. shall be entitled and shall recover an additional sum of \$7,500.00 as reasonable and necessary attorney's fees, if Defendant is unsuccessful.; plus all cost of said suit (as itemized in the attached cost statement, excluding cost due the County); which said judgment debtor ought now to pay.

NOW, THEREFORE, YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, that of the Chattels, Personal Property or Real Estate, subject to execution by law of the said NANIK BHAGIA you make, or cause to be made, the sum or sums, with interests as shown above, together with your legal fees and commission for collecting the same. REMIT from recovery, first: all Court Costs, due the county, to the District Clerk of Harris County, Texas, retaining for yourself costs of sale; then remit balance of recovery in said judgment to proper parties for the amounts shown herein; all in accordance with the statutes of the State of Texas.

HEREIN FAIL NOT, to make due execution and return of this Writ, within 30 days from this date.

GIVEN under my hand and seal of office, at Houston, this 1st day of October, 2012.

Issued at the request of:
HENRY L ROBERTSON
ATTY AT LAW
8323 SOUTHWEST FRWY STE 605
HOUSTON TX 77074
713-271-5656



CHRIS DANIEL, District Clerk
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

By De C Gilmore, Deputy
DUANE C. GILMORE

2012 OCT 18 AM 11:22
CHRIS DANIEL
DISTRICT CLERK
HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

FILED
Chris Daniel
District Clerk

OCT 18 2012

Time: _____
Harris County, Texas
By _____
Deputy



CHRIS DANIEL
DISTRICT CLERK

STATEMENT OF ACCRUED COSTS: FEES

THIS IS NOT A BILL

LAW OFFICE OF REGINALD E MCKAMIE SR P C vs BHIAGIA, NANIK

ROBERTSON, HENRY L.
8323 SW FRWY STE 605
HOUSTON, TX 77074

Cause#: 7 - 201135107
Court: 190
Judgment Date: 7/9/2012

Issued at the Request of: ROBERTSON, HENRY L.

DATE	RCT/INV	ASSESSED TO/COLLECTED FROM	TYPE	ITEM DESCRIPTION	FEES/COSTS	PAID
9-19-2012	31102	ROBERTSON, HENRY L.	ASMT	EXECUTION	\$8.00	\$8.00
8-15-2012	30362	ROBERTSON, HENRY L.	ASMT	ABSTRACT	\$16.00	\$16.00
3-22-2012	442826	RUBIN, MATT EVAN	ASMT	E-FILING CC CONVENIENCE FEE	\$2.00	\$2.00
9-26-2011	372467	SCHUTZA, JERRY L.	ASMT	E-FILING CC CONVENIENCE FEE	\$2.00	\$2.00
8-1-2011	349954	SCHUTZA, JERRY L.	ASMT	E-FILING CC CONVENIENCE FEE	\$2.00	\$2.00
6-30-2011	11739	VON MOORE	ASMT	CITATION WITH 1 COPY	\$8.00	\$8.00
6-30-2011	11739	VON MOORE	ASMT	FAX SERVICE COPIES	\$1.50	\$1.50
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	FILING NEW CASE	\$50.00	\$50.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	DISTRICT COURT RECORDS ARCHIVE FE	\$5.00	\$5.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	DIGITAL REC PRESERVATION FEE	\$10.00	\$10.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	SECURITY SERVICE FEE	\$5.00	\$5.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	DIST CLK RECORDS MGMT & PRES FEE	\$5.00	\$5.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	RECORD PRESERVATION FEE	\$5.00	\$5.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	JUDICIAL FILING FEE - CIVIL	\$50.00	\$50.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	LEGAL SRVCS FEE-CIVIL-DIST	\$10.00	\$10.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	SUPPORT OF JUDICIARY FEE	\$42.00	\$42.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	LAW LIBRARY	\$15.00	\$15.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	STENO FEE	\$15.00	\$15.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	DISPUTE RESOLUTION FEE	\$10.00	\$10.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	APPELLANT JUDICIAL FUND	\$5.00	\$5.00
6-13-2011	420123	MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	ASMT	E-FILING CC CONVENIENCE FEE	\$2.00	\$2.00
TOTAL ALL FEES:					\$268.50	\$268.50
BALANCE DUE ALL FEES:						\$0.00

Summary		Costs	Paid	Due
MCKAMIE, REGINALD E.	P	\$229.00	\$229.00	\$0.00
ROBERTSON, HENRY L.	P	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$0.00
RUBIN, MATT EVAN		\$2.00	\$2.00	\$0.00
SCHUTZA, JERRY L.	D	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$0.00
VON MOORE		\$9.50	\$9.50	\$0.00
Statement Totals:		\$268.50	\$268.50	\$0.00

CHRIS DANIEL Prepared by: BERRIE DENSON

AD LITEM FEE:

PAYABLE TO:

Each Pay Own Costs: \$0.00

MONFY DUE to CHRIS DANIEL DISTRICT CLERK \$0.00

 DEPUTY.

(713) - 755-7300

9-20-2012



ROB COOK, CONSTABLE
 FORT BEND COUNTY - PRECINCT THREE
 22333 GRAND CORNER, SUITE 103
 KATY, TX 77494
 PHONE 281-238-1430 FAX 281-238-1431

**DUE DILIGENCE
 REPORT**

CHRIS DANIEL
 DISTRICT CLERK
 HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
 2012 OCT 18 AM 11:22
 RECEIVED
 PROCESSING DEPT.

CONSTABLE'S RETURN

CAUSE # 2011-35107
 DEFENDANT: Nanik Bhagia

Came to hand the 4th day of October, 2012, at 8:19 o'clock a.m. and executed on the 12th day of October, 2012 at 1:05 o'clock p.m. Due diligence in this matter evidenced by:

Received writ and recorded time and date received. Written demand for payment of judgment by 1st class mail, return receipt requested was made on October 9, 2012. Made personal contact with the defendant. Search of county real property records did not reveal non-homesteaded real property subject to levy. Further investigation and observation found no non-exempt personal assets subject to levy in Fort Bend County. This writ is returned to court due to Nulla Bona.

ROB COOK, CONSTABLE
 PRECINCT THREE
 FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS

FEE: \$ 100.00

BY: [Signature]
 S.D. Sayers
 DEPUTY CONSTABLE #1338

FILED

Chris Daniel
 District Clerk

OCT 18 2012

Time: _____
 Harris County, Texas
 By _____
 Deputy



ROB COOK
Constable Precinct 3
Fort Bend County, Texas
22333 Grand Corner Dr., Suite 103
Katy, Texas 77494

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use



**HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
CHRIS DANIEL, DISTRICT CLERK
PO BOX 4651
HOUSTON TX 77210**

7721004651 8057

