# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY,	§	
FSB, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY	§	
BUT SOLELY AS CERTIFICATE TRUSTEE	§	
OF BOSCO CREDIT II TRUST SERIES 2010-1,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-1342
	§	
KRISTI DUNN,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
	§	

## PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, not in its Individual Capacity but Solely as Certificate Trustee of Bosco Credit II Trust Series 2010-1 ("Plaintiff"), and files this *Original Complaint* against Kristi Dunn ("Defendant") and respectfully shows the Court:

## I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is the owner and holder of the Note made the subject of this proceeding.
- 2. Defendant Kristi Dunn is an obligor under the Note and may be served with process at 273 Broken Branch, Livingston, TX 77351, or at such other place as she may be found. Summons is requested.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this dispute under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is complete diversity between Plaintiff and Defendant, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
  - 4. Plaintiff is the trustee for a securitized trust. When determining the citizenship of

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT MWZM: 20-000023-740-1

the real parties in interest for purposes of diversity jurisdiction, it is the citizenship of the trustee

which controls, not the citizenship of the beneficiaries of the trust. Navarro Sav. Assoc. v. Lee, 446

F. Supp. 2d 261, 263 (S.D.N.Y. 2008). Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB ("Wilmington")

is a national banking association organized under the laws of the United States. A national banking

association organized under the laws of the United States is considered a citizen of the state in

which it is "located." See 28 U.S.C. § 1348. A national bank is located only in the state of its main

office as established in the bank's article of association. See Wachovia Bank, N.A. v. Schmidt, 546

U.S. 303, 318 (2006). According to its articles of association, Wilmington's main office is located

in Wilmington, Delaware and, thus, Wilmington is a citizen of Delaware.

5. Defendant is a citizen of Texas.

6. In this suit, Plaintiff seeks a monetary judgment in the amount of \$54,447.48.

Additionally, attorney's fees are included in the amount in controversy. See Graham v. Henegar,

640 F.2d 732, 736 (5th Cir. 1981). Plaintiff estimates that attorney's fees will be in excess of

\$25,000.00 through trial. Accordingly, the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.

7. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division because a

substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district.

See 28 U.S.C. §§ 124, 1391(b).

III. FACTS

8. On or about September 14, 2006, Defendant Kristi Dunn executed that certain *Note* 

(Second Lien) ("Note") in the principal amount of \$24,168.00 at an interest rate of 9.990% per

annum in favor of EquiFirst Corporation as "Lender." The Note was related to the purchase and/or

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT MWZM: 20-000023-740-1

refinance of certain real property located at 13681 Bighorn Trail, Willis, Texas 77378 (the

"Property"). A true and correct copy of the Note is attached hereto as Exhibit A<sup>1</sup>.

9. Concurrently with the Note, Defendant executed a Second Lien Deed of Trust

("Deed of Trust") in Montgomery County, Texas. The Deed of Trust was recorded in the Real

Property Records of Montgomery County, Texas under Instrument No. 2006-110783. A true and

correct copy of the Deed of Trust is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

10. Plaintiff is the current owner and "Note Holder" of the Note pursuant to a specific

endorsement affixed to the Note. As the Note Holder, Plaintiff is entitled to receive payments

under the Note.

11. By executing the Note, Defendant agreed to make installment payments of \$211.92

starting on November 1, 2006. Defendant further agreed to pay one amount of \$195.96 on October

1, 2036 ("Maturity Date").

12. The Note provides that, should default be made in the payment of any installment

under the Note, at the option of the Note Holder and upon at least 30 days' notice, the unpaid

principal and all interest owed shall become immediately due and payable.

13. The Note further provides for the recovery of costs and expenses, including

reasonable attorneys' fees, for enforcement of the Note.

14. Defendant has failed or refused to pay the debt evidenced by the Note. Defendant

has defaulted on the obligation to Plaintiff on the Note by failing to make the March 1, 2008

payment and subsequent monthly payments.

<sup>1</sup> The documents attached to this *Original Petition* are made a part of this proceeding for all purposes and are true and correct copies of the original Loan Agreement and related documents pertaining to the Property. Plaintiff asks the Court to take judicial notice of all documents that are public record for the purposes of this proceeding.

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

15. On October 2, 2020, Plaintiff, through its counsel, sent Defendant a Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate ("Notice of Default") via certified mail to Defendant's last known

address. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Default is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

16. The default was not cured.

On November 6, 2020, Plaintiff filed its Plaintiff's Original Petition in the 457<sup>th</sup> 17.

Judicial District Court of Montgomery County, Texas under Cause No. 20-11-13693 (the "Prior

Action"). The Prior Action was dismissed without prejudice on December 1, 2021.

18. In accordance with Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 54, all conditions precedent have

been performed or have occurred for Plaintiff to enforce the terms of the Note.

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION – SUIT ON PROMISSORY NOTE

19. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

20. Plaintiff brings this suit to enforce Defendant's obligation to pay the Note in

accordance with Texas Business and Commerce Code section 3.118(a). Plaintiff seeks a personal

money judgment against Defendant.

21. The Note exists. Defendant executed the Note and thereby promised to pay monthly

installments and one balloon payment to the Note Holder. Plaintiff became the Note's legal owner

and Note Holder pursuant to a specific endorsement. Because Defendant has defaulted by failing

to make the promised monthly installment payments, a certain amount is due and owing.

22. Under the terms of the Note, there is now due, owing, and unpaid from Defendants

to Plaintiff a total of \$54,447.48 plus attorney's fees and court costs.

V. ATTORNEYS' FEES

23. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated by reference for all purposes.

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

24. Because of Defendants' default, Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees under the terms of the Note and Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code section 38.001(8).

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendants be cited to appear and answer and that, upon final hearing, and the Court enter judgment granting Plaintiff:

- a. the amount owed on the Note,
- b. attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- c. all other relief, in law and in equity, to which Plaintiff is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Mark D. Cronenwett

## MARK D. CRONENWETT

Texas Bar No. 00787303 Southern District Bar No. 21340 mcronenwett@mwzmlaw.com Attorney-in-Charge

#### ROBERT T. LIEBER JR.

Tennessee Bar No. 035829 Southern District Bar No. 3173121 rlieber@mwzmlaw.com Of Counsel

## MACKIE WOLF ZIENTZ & MANN, P.C.

14160 N. Dallas Parkway, Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75254 214.635.2650

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT MWZM: 20-000023-740-1