

INTERMEDIARY LOAN PRICING

Authors:

Pierre Mabille (INSEAD)
Olivier Wang (NYU Stern)

Discussion:

Fabrice Tourre (Copenhagen Business School)

February 8, 2021

WHAT THE PAPER DOES

Motivation

- Study prices and non-price terms for loans in equilibrium model with competitive banks and heterogeneous borrowers
- How prices and non-price terms vary with borrower characteristics
- How prices and non-price terms change with aggregate shocks

Key idea / ingredients

- Loan rates affect default probability \rightarrow payoff “endogenous” to prices
- Non-Walrasian world where banks offer contracts over (R, ℓ, z)
- Non-price loan terms $(\ell, z) \rightarrow$ additional tool above/beyond rates (R)

Key results

- Response to above questions depends on 2 key elasticities
 - ϵ_{ℓ^*} : elasticity of borrower's loan demand (to rates)
 - ϵ_r : elasticity of repayment proba. to debt face value
- Formula for pass-through of monetary and credit supply shocks
- Application to the US mortgage market pre-2008

MULTI-DIMENSIONAL LOAN CONTRACTING

Bank contracting problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x_i, R_i, \ell_i} \quad & \int x_i \ell_i [R_i (1 - \mu_i (R_i \ell_i)) - R_f] di \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \int x_i \rho_i \ell_i di \leq \bar{L} \quad \text{and} \quad V_i (\ell_i, R_i) \geq \bar{V}_i \end{aligned}$$

Symmetric equilibrium

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\epsilon_{r,i} (R_i \ell_i)}{1 - \epsilon_{r,i} (R_i \ell_i)} = \tau_i (R_i, \ell_i) & \rightarrow \ell_i^* (R_i) && \text{(virtual loan demand)} \\ R_i (1 - \mu_i (R_i \ell_i)) - R_f = \rho_i \nu \quad \forall i & \rightarrow R_i^* (\ell_i) && \text{("risk-return" trade-off)} \end{aligned}$$

Comparison: ϵ_{ℓ^*} vs. ϵ_{ℓ_u}

Virtual loan demand elasticity (as a function of IES, cash on hand, income)

Aggregate shock (approximate) pass-through

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{credit supply:} & \frac{d \log L_i}{d \log \bar{L}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d \log R_i}{d \log \bar{L}} \\ \text{monetary policy:} & \frac{d \log L_i}{d \log R_f} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d \log R_i}{d \log R_f} \end{array}$$

Suggestion → study changes in regulatory risk weights (Basel III...)

Consequence for different markets (high vs. low elasticity)

Consequence in dynamic model

- high ϵ_{ℓ^*} mkts: high $\Delta \nu_0$ but short T
- low ϵ_{ℓ^*} mkts: low $\Delta \nu_0$ but long T

How do we measure those elasticities?

- Empirical estimates of loan demand elasticities: ϵ_{ℓ^*} ? $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\ell^*}$? ϵ_{ℓ_u} ?
- Empirical elasticities all over the place
 - Fuster & Zafar (2021): $\epsilon_{\ell^*} \approx 0.11$ from survey data
 - DeFusco & Paciorek (2017): $\epsilon_{\ell^*} \approx 1.75$ using bunching at conforming limit
 - Fuster & Willen (2017): $\epsilon_r \approx 1.1$ using hybrid ARM reset identification
 - DiMaggio & al (2017): $\epsilon_r \approx 2$ using hybrid ARM reset identification

Short term vs. long term debt

- ℓ_i and R_i influence default probability only via face value $\ell_i R_i$;
- Well suited for one-period debt;
- In practice however, most debt contracts are long term;
- In many economic settings (sovereign debt, Leland models), R and ℓ have differential impacts on default probability.

Is the US mortgage market well suited to apply this theory?

- 2002-2007
 - agency mortgages (30-yr fixed-rate prepayable into agency MBS mkt)
 - hybrid ARMs (securitized into Alt-A and subprime RMBS mkt)
- since 2008, mostly agency mortgages
 - non-bank originators slowly becoming dominant;
 - rates mostly driven by prepayment risk in agency MBS mkt;
 - mortgage rates cross-sectional variation reflects mostly LLPA matrix;
 - LTV significantly influenced by conforming mortgage limit & LLPA matrix
 - PTI driven by QM rules introduced by CFPB

Potential alternative approach

- Focus on specific credit market where credit risk is priced by competitive private market;
- Take identified monetary policy shocks and look at priced and non-priced loan terms' response
- Use your framework to recovery economically interesting parameters