

EXHIBIT 6



132 S. RODEO DRIVE, FOURTH FLOOR
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 • 424.203.1600

260 MADISON AVENUE, SIXTEENTH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10016 • 212.799.1400

WWW.HARDERLLP.COM

July 20, 2019

VIA E-MAIL

Hassan A. Zavareei, Esq.
Tycko & Zavareei LLP
1828 L Street NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
hzavareei@tzlegal.com

Re: Alva Johnson v. Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. et al.
M.D. Fla. USDC Case No. 8:19-cv-00475

Dear Mr. Zavareei:

We are writing in response to your letter dated July 19, 2019. Please be advised that we will not agree to reopen discovery and adjourn Ms. Johnson's current deadline to file an amended complaint until after we respond to her new purported discovery. There is no legitimate reason to do either.

As previously stated, you and your client are already in possession of the entire unedited video recording of Ms. Johnson's August 24, 2016 interaction with Mr. Trump in its original, unaltered format, as copies were produced during discovery and served on your office and submitted to the Court on July 10, 2019. The footage was captured by a third-party witness, Brian Hayes, who was present in the recreational vehicle. The full-speed version of the video (again, lodged with the court and served on your office) was not altered in any way.

The video shows that Mr. Johnson herself kissed Mr. Trump on the air right next to his face, and that she smiled after delivering her kiss. The entire incident lasted little more than a couple of seconds. The video demonstrates that there was no battery whatsoever, and that Ms. Johnson's battery claim was frivolous from the outset. The documents and information sought in Ms. Johnson's new purported discovery will do nothing to change that.

We did not agree to provide the information requested in your July 15 letter because discovery was and is stayed, and the unaltered video has already been produced. We will not agree that Ms. Johnson may now pursue discovery just because you have apparently decided that it better suits the purposes of your politically-motivated lawsuit.

There remains no operative complaint in this case. No further discovery should be conducted unless and until there is. Ms. Johnson's new purported discovery should be withdrawn immediately, and re-served if and only when (1) an operative pleading is on file and (2) it includes another claim for battery. In that event, as we previously stated, we will seek

July 20, 2019

Re: Alva Johnson v. Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. *et al.*

Page 2

sanctions against Ms. Johnson and her counsel to the maximum extent available by law, pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Sincerely,



RYAN J. STONEROCK OF
HARDER LLP

cc: Charles J. Harder, Esq.
Henry L. Self III, Esq.
Steven H. Frackman, Esq.
Dawn Siler-Nixon, Esq.
Tracey Jaensch, Esq.
Katherine M. Aizpuru, Esq.
Janet R. Varnell, Esq.
Brian W. Warwick, Esq.
Jennifer D. Bennett, Esq.
F. Paul Bland, Esq.
Karla A. Gilbride, Esq.