

## Chief Justice's Annual Report Recounts 65-Year-Old Tale Of Judicial Heroism To Remind You There Isn't **Any Today**

Sometimes it's more important why we tell the stories we tell.

By JOE PATRICE on January 3, 2023 at 12:12 PM







×



(Photo by Alex Wong/Getty Images)

The Chief Justice's annual report on the federal judiciary is not so much an "annual report" as a pamphlet of pro-judiciary propaganda that he foists on the public every year. In 2021, Roberts closed out a year overflowing with ethical scandals by scolding the public for daring to question the judiciary's ability to regulate itself. This year, he chose to trumpet the heroism.

Obviously, he had to go back almost three quarters of a century to find any.



SPONSORED

## Generative AI at Work: Boosting e-Discovery Efficiency for Corporate Legal Teams

While generative AI may feel like a hot new topic, the legal industry is no stranger to leveraging artificial intelligence.

From RELATIVITY

In 1957, Judge Ronald Davies of the Eastern District of Arkansas ordered the integration of Little Rock Central High School as required by *Brown v. Board*. Folks in Arkansas didn't like it, but the judge applied the law.

It's a cool story. It's also not the top judicial story of 2022.

An annual report covering the judiciary in 2022 would, one would suspect address the Court's dwindling



SPONSORED

Navigating The
Complexity:
CobbleStone Contract
Insight Delivers

## Centralized And AI-Backed Contract Management

From LEGALTECH PUBLISHING

#### Recommended



Above The Law's 2024 Lawyer Of The

×



2 of 7

in the ethics scandal that Roberts blew off in 2021 had one of her compromised decisions challenged and the Fifth Circuit ruled that it would be too messy to unravel all the corruption and that these opinions should stand. It might even acknowledge the expanding scandals surrounding Ginni Thomas.

Or, you know, acknowledged the *Dobbs* leak investigation that the justices continue to wave around as some heinous crime, even though the Court is searching out the leaker with the same vigor O.J. employs for finding the real killers. There actually was a credible tip about a leaked Supreme Court opinion this year and the Supreme Court spent all of 10 seconds considering it before clumsily announcing they basically outsourced the investigation to Politico.



SPONSORED

### Happy Lawyers, Better Results The Key To Thriving In Tough Times

How happiness, a positive workplace, and alignment with the right role can transform not just your own career, but also the success of your clients...

From ADAM LOSEY

Shoehorned into the report, Roberts adds this curious nugget:

Judicial opinions speak for themselves, and there is no obligation in our free country to agree with them. Indeed, we judges frequently dissent—sometimes strongly—from our colleagues' opinions, and we explain why in public writings about the cases before us.

I guess that's true of dissents... because majority rulings don't necessarily elicit explanation these days.



Florida Man Stiffs Athletes With Fake NIL Promises According To Player Suit



SPONSORED

Law Firm Business Development Is More Than Relationship Building

Look forward to client outreach with InterAction $^{+TM}$ .

From LEXISNEXIS

×

But also... doesn't this strike at the heart of why no one really cares about the leak? Because the substance of the opinion is all that actually mattered? I don't think Roberts understands the damage he's doing to his own fixation.

Ostensibly, the Judge Davies story is a segue for Roberts to talk about enhanced security to protect federal judges from the sort of threats leveled at Davies. Toward the end of the report, the Chief cites Judge Esther Salas, whose son Daniel was murdered by a disgruntled litigant, leading to the Daniel Anderl Judicial Security and Privacy Act.

If security was the purpose of this little homily, why wasn't the whole thing about Judge Salas and her son? That would at least ground the piece in the 21st century. But the Chief really wanted to use this line and the tragic events surrounding Judge Salas and her family would get in the way of the Roberts narrative:

The events of Little Rock teach about the importance of rule by law instead of by mob.

Because there was no "mob" for Judge Salas. Instead, the judge faced the much more salient risk for judges that lone wackos upset over their own very personal cases have unfettered access to guns in every state. A reality that the Supreme Court exacerbated when it rewrote the Second Amendment last year. Roberts wants to talk about people protesting outside his house — something the Supreme Court explicitly ruled was constitutional when it applied to doctors providing abortions — and he has to invoke Judge Davies to sell that story.

Even the "attempted murder" of Brett Kavanaugh — a case where the public facts seem far more inchoate than those charges — couldn't cut it for Roberts because it's just another armed individual. Roberts is much more interested in painting picketers as a threat



SPONSORED

## Curbing Client And Talent Loss With Productivity Tech

Law firms must leverage technology to curb client attrition and talent loss, enhancing efficiency and aligning with evolving expectations for lasting success.

From ABOVE THE LAW

×

1/1/2025, 12:17 PM

4 of 7

rile up the rabble, but the fact that any outlier litigant can easily acquire a gun and attack judges.

Yet there may be a simpler, more craven reason why a Wikipedia-level history lesson about 1957 makes up two-and-three-quarters pages of his three-and-a-halfpage statement. John Roberts knows he's writing a propaganda piece and he's also clever.

Mainstream media outlets only report what's in front of them. We can quibble the relative journalistic merits of this approach, but it's the reality. So when the New York Times covers the annual report, it can't avoid devoting a hefty chunk of the report to fleshing out the story of Judge Davies and Little Rock. There's no version of the story that can avoid handing the readers a tidy account of how the courts render justice... or at least how they did in 1957. That gives the Roberts report the nice, happy frame he wants. And a lot of readers will take that noble legacy away with them.

A more discerning audience zeroes in on the fact that, in 2022, when John Roberts set out to make the federal judiciary look good, he had to launder his institution with the robe of a judge born in 1904.

2022 Year-End Report on the Federal Judiciary [Supreme Court]

Earlier: Chief Justice Wants You To Know He Has The Utmost Contempt For You



Joe Patrice is a senior editor at Above the Law and cohost of Thinking Like A Lawyer. Feel free to email any tips, questions, or comments. Follow him on Twitter if you're interested in law, politics, and a healthy dose of

college enorte nome. Les ales corres as a Managina

×

#### **TOPICS**

Courts, John Roberts, Supreme Court



#### Recommended

# Fake Promises Before New Year's Day -- See Also

Florida Coach Frauds Student Athletes: Some games aren't worth playing.

Baker Botts Gets Caught "Matching": Top law firms don't have to play these sort of financial games.

Last Day To Vote On Lawyer Of The Year!: Do the thing!

By CHRIS WILLIAMS

#### More From Above the Law







**Baker Botts** 

**Bonus** 



How The The
Pentagon Damage
DomesticatedHas

Baked Murderer Bogus Of

The Already
Chatbot: Been Done':

Baseline In UnitedHealthcare's

**CEO Must** 

The

2024 In Top Firms Review May

Announcemende Held
Accountable
Even If The

Struggle To Recover From Special Bonus Even If The Public Is Upset At The

Bonus Snubs Industry's Shortfalls

From the Above the Law Network

Fake A Law Firm A Law Firm Document



×

6 of 7

LAWLINE CLE

THOMSON REUTERS THOMSON REUTERS Guide

THOMSON REUTERS

The Secrets Of Small ABOVE THE LAW

5 Things To Consider Firm Success Before Hiring Strengthen A Legal Marketing **Partner** 

THOMSON REUTERS LAW

**Use Tech To** Client Ties AND ABOVE THE

How You Can Differentiating **Your Solo** Firm In A Crowded THOMSON REUTERS Marketplace THOMSON REUTERS & ABOVE THE LAW

> **Advertise About Newsletter**

> > OUR SITES -











© 2025 Breaking Media, Inc. All rights reserved. Registration or use of this site constitutes acceptance of our Terms of Service and Privacy Policy.



